Anticoagulants
Therapeutic Class Review (TCR)

January 5, 2016

The materials contained herein represent the opinions of the collective authors and editors and should not be construed to be the official representation of any professional organization or group, any state Pharmacy and Therapeutics committee, any state Medicaid Agency, or any other clinical committee. This material is not intended to be relied upon as medical advice for specific medical cases and nothing contained herein should be relied upon by any patient, medical professional or layperson seeking information about a specific course of treatment for a specific medical condition. All readers of this material are responsible for independently obtaining medical advice and guidance from their own physician and/or other medical professional in regard to the best course of treatment for their specific medical condition. This publication, inclusive of all forms contained herein, is intended to be educational in nature and is intended to be used for informational purposes only. Send comments and suggestions to PSTCREditor@magellanhealth.com.

FDA-APPROVED INDICATIONS

Drug	Manufacturer	DVT prophylaxis				DVT Treatment
		Hip Replacement	Knee Replacement	Hip Fracture surgery	Abdominal Surgery	
Injectable						
dalteparin (Fragmin®)[1]	Eisai	X	-	-	X	-
enoxaparin (Lovenox®)[2]	generic, Sanofi-Aventis	X	X	-	X	X (without PE in outpatient setting, with or without PE in inpatient setting)
fondaparinux (Arixtra®)[3]	generic, GlaxoSmithKline	X	X	X	X	X
Oral						
apixaban (Eliquis®)[4]	Bristol-Myers Squibb	X	X	-	-	X
dabigatran (Pradaxa®)[5]	Boehringer Ingelheim	X	-	-	-	X*
edoxaban (Savaysa®)[6]	Daiichi Sankyo					X*
rivaroxaban (Xarelto®)[7]	Janssen	X	X	-	-	X
warfarin (Coumadin®)[8]	generic, Bristol-Myers Squibb	X	X	X	X**	X

* Dabigatran and edoxaban are indicated for the treatment of deep vein thrombosis (DVT)/pulmonary embolism (PE) in patients treated with a parenteral anticoagulant for 5 to 10 days.

**Off-label

Other Indications

dalteparin (Fragmin)

- Prophylaxis of ischemic complications of unstable angina and non-Q-wave myocardial infarction (MI) when concurrently administered with aspirin
- DVT prophylaxis for immobile medical patients who are at risk for thromboembolic complications
- Extended treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism (VTE) (proximal DVT and/or PE), to reduce the recurrence of VTE in patients with cancer

enoxaparin (Lovenox)

- For the prophylaxis of ischemic complications of unstable angina and non-Q-wave myocardial infarction in conjunction with aspirin
- DVT prophylaxis to prevent thromboembolic complications in medical patients with severely restricted mobility during acute illness
- Treatment of acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) managed medically or with subsequent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)

fondaparinux (Arixtra)

- Treatment of acute PE when initial therapy is administered in the hospital and with warfarin

apixaban (Eliquis)

- To reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF)
- For the treatment of PE
- To reduce the risk of recurrent DVT and PE following initial therapy

dabigatran (Pradaxa)

- To reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF)
- To reduce the risk of recurrence of DVT and PE following initial therapy

edoxaban (Savaysa)

- To reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF)

rivaroxaban (Xarelto)

- To reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF)
- For the treatment of PE
- For the reduction in the risk of recurrence of DVT and of PE following initial 6-months treatment for DVT and/or PE

warfarin (Coumadin)

- Prophylaxis and/or treatment of the thromboembolic complications associated with atrial fibrillation (AF) and/or cardiac valve replacement
- Reduce the risk of death, recurrent myocardial infarction, and thromboembolic events, such as stroke or systemic embolization after myocardial infarction
- Prophylaxis and/or treatment of venous thrombosis and its extension, and pulmonary embolism (PE)

The focus of this review will be on the outpatient use of the injectable anticoagulants, which include the LMWHs and fondaparinux, and oral anticoagulants apixaban, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and warfarin.

OVERVIEW

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a significant public health problem in the United States (U.S.). The disease manifests as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) and is a major consequence of various surgical procedures and medical conditions. DVT occurs when a thrombus composed of cellular material bound together with fibrin strands forms in the deep venous portion of the extremities, most commonly the legs. Embolization of a thrombus results in PE if it lodges in the pulmonary artery or one of its branches and blocks pulmonary blood flow.[9,10]

Over 100,000 cases of PE are diagnosed annually in the U.S. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) ranks PE as the third most common cause of death in hospitalized patients; if left untreated, approximately 30% of patients who develop PE will die within the first few hours of the event.[11]

Clinical risk factors for VTE include immobility or paralysis; trauma or surgery involving the lower extremities, pelvis, hips, or abdomen; malignancy; a history of VTE; obesity; any state leading to increased estrogen levels, including pregnancy and hormone replacement therapy; indwelling central venous catheters; cardiac dysfunction; inflammatory bowel disease; nephrotic syndrome; and acquired (e.g., cancer) or inherited hypercoagulability disorders. Generally, the risk of VTE increases with the number of risk factors present, major traumas, and age.[12,13] Due to the risk of morbidity and fatal PE associated with DVT, prophylaxis has become the standard of care for patients at high risk for thrombosis. Based on presence of the risk factors outlined above, the 9th American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines published in February 2012 recommend various regimens of parenteral and/or oral anticoagulants with or without mechanical devices, such as graduated compression stockings and/or intermittent pneumatic compression devices.[14] Since this publication, a portion of the guidelines on antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease was updated in 2016, but not all sections of the guidelines were updated.[15] In patients undergoing orthopedic surgery (total hip replacement or knee replacement), DVT prophylaxis with LMWH, UFH, fondaparinux, vitamin K antagonist [(VKA); e.g., warfarin], or aspirin, and also the newer agents apixaban (Eliquis), dabigatran (Pradaxa), and rivaroxaban (Xarelto) (all Grade 1B), or an intermittent pneumatic compression device (IPCD) (Grade 1C) is recommended postoperatively for at least 10 to 14 days. LMWH is recommended over the other alternative agents (Grade 2B-2C). Limitations of alternative agents include the possibility of increased bleeding (which may occur with fondaparinux [Arixtra], rivaroxaban [Xarelto], and VKA), possible decreased efficacy (UFH, VKA, aspirin, and IPCD alone), and lack of long-term safety data (apixaban [Eliquis], dabigatran [Pradaxa], and rivaroxaban [Xarelto]). In patients undergoing hip fracture surgery, ACCP recommends the use of 1 of the following for antithrombotic prophylaxis for a minimum of 10 to 14 days: LMWH, fondaparinux (Arixtra), low-dose UFH, adjusted-dose VKA, aspirin (all Grade 1B), or an IPCD (Grade 1C).

Initial treatment options for VTE consist of either intravenous (IV) or subcutaneous (SC) UFH, SC LMWH, or fondaparinux (Arixtra) for at least 5 days and until the international normalized ratio (INR) is in therapeutic range for at least 24 hours if the patient is being transitioned to warfarin.[16] VKA therapy should overlap parenteral anticoagulant therapy, and should be initiated on the first treatment day. LMWH or fondaparinux (Arixtra) is suggested over UFH for the treatment of acute DVT of the leg, acute

PE, or acute upper extremity DVT (UEDVT) of the axillary or more proximal veins (Grades 2B and 2C). In patients with DVT of the leg, or PE and no cancer, ACCP suggest dabigatran (Pradaxa), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), apixaban (Eliquis), or edoxaban (Savaysa) over VKA therapy for long-term (3 month) anticoagulant therapy (Grade 2B); however, they still suggest a VKA over LMWH (Grade 2C) for this population if a newer agent is not used.[17] For patients with cancer, ACCP suggests LMWH over VKA (Grade 2B), dabigatran (Grade 2C), rivaroxaban (Grade 2C), apixaban (Grade 2C), and edoxaban (Grade 2C). In patients with DVT of the leg who are treated with VKA, a therapeutic INR range of 2 to 3 (target INR of 2.5) for all treatment durations (Grade 1B) is recommended.

For patients with VTE secondary to a nonsurgical transient (reversible) risk factor, ACCP recommends anticoagulation therapy for 3 months over a shorter period (Grade 1B for proximal DVT or PE, Grade 2C for distal DVT) or an extended period (Grade 1B).[18] For patients with first episode of unprovoked DVT or PE, anticoagulation is recommended for 3 months over extended therapy if there is a high bleeding risk (Grade 1B) or low-moderate bleeding risk (Grade 2B). In patients with DVT of the leg who receive extended therapy, the guidelines suggest treatment with the same anticoagulant chosen for the first 3 months (Grade 2C).[19]

The injectable agents in this review have different instructions for use and are not considered interchangeable, unit for unit. They differ in manufacturing process, molecular weight distribution, anti-Xa and anti-IIa activities, as well as units and dosages.

The American Society of Clinical Oncology updated their evidence-based clinical practice guideline on prophylaxis and treatment of VTE in cancer patients in 2014.[20] Cancer is a hypercoagulable state and oncology patients are at an increased risk for VTE. Both prophylaxis and treatment regimens are generally more aggressive in cancer patients than in other populations. For example, all hospitalized patients (for any indication) who have an active malignancy should receive anticoagulation therapy as prophylaxis unless there is active bleeding or another contraindication. In the outpatient setting, routine thromboprophylaxis is not recommended for cancer patients. However, the use of a LMWH as prophylaxis may be indicated for certain high-risk patients, including those with solid tumors undergoing chemotherapy or patients with multiple myeloma receiving thalidomide or lenalidomide-based regimens, with our without dexamethasone, as these medications are known to increase the risk of VTE. Cancer patients undergoing major surgery should have anticoagulation continued for at least 7 to 10 days postoperatively and possible extended prophylaxis with a LMWH for up to 4 weeks for select patients. For treatment of a cancer patient with a VTE, as well as secondary prophylaxis, a LMWH is preferred over UFH for the initial 5 to 10 days unless the patient has severe renal impairment. There is strong evidence to support a recommendation for long-term anticoagulation with a LMWH for at least 6 months as opposed to treatment with a VKA. LMWHs are preferred over VKAs because of improved efficacy in cancer patients. Use of novel oral anticoagulants is not currently recommended for patients with malignancy and VTE.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common arrhythmia ranging in prevalence from 2% in patients under 65 years of age to 9% for those 65 or older.[21] The prevalence is higher in men than in women and with increases with age. More than one third of patients with AF are 80 years of age or older.[22,23] Patients with AF can have a reduction in cardiac output resulting in pooling of blood in the heart, atrial thrombus formation, and potential systemic embolization.[24] Ischemic stroke is the most frequent clinical manifestation of AF associated embolization. AF increases the risk of stroke 5-fold.[25] Due to the high risk of future ischemic stroke, the 2012 ACCP guidelines recommend long-term anticoagulation in

patients with AF, including those with paroxysmal (intermittent) AF who have had a prior ischemic stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or systemic embolism (Grade 1A).[26] For AF patients, including those with paroxysmal AF, with low risk of stroke, ACCP suggests no therapy (Grade 2B). For low-risk patients who do choose antithrombotic therapy, ACCP suggests aspirin (75 mg to 325 mg once daily) rather than oral anticoagulation (Grade 2B) or combination therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel (Grade 2B). In patients with intermediate or high risk of stroke, ACCP suggests dabigatran (Pradaxa) 150 mg twice daily over VKA (target INR range 2 to 3) (Grade 2B); oral anticoagulation over aspirin (75 to 325 mg once daily); or aspirin/clopidogrel (Grade 2B, 1B).

To prevent a secondary cardioembolic stroke in patients with a history of ischemic stroke or TIA and AF, ACCP suggests oral anticoagulation with dabigatran (Pradaxa) over VKA therapy (Grade 2B); for patients who are unsuitable for, or choose not to take an oral anticoagulant, ACCP recommends combination therapy with ASA and clopidogrel (Grade 1B). These guidelines were published prior to FDA approval of apixaban (Eliquis), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), or edoxaban (Savaysa) and, although considered in the ACCP review, are not a part of their 2012 recommendations.[27]

The 2014 American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) guidelines for the management of AF recommend the use of antithrombotic therapy be based on shared decision-making, discussions of the risks of stroke and bleeding, and taking into consideration patient preference (Class 1; Level C).[28] The guidelines further recommend the choice of antithrombotic therapy be based on the risk of thromboembolism as measured by the CHA_2DS_2-VASc score (Class 1; Level B). The CHA_2DS_2-VASc score ranges from 0 to 9, with higher numbers indicating more risk. The risk factors considered in the CHA_2DS_2-VASc score are gender, age, history of stroke, TIA, or thromboembolism, as well as history of congestive heart failure (CHF), hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or vascular disease (prior myocardial infarction [MI], peripheral artery disease, or aortic plaque). According to these guidelines, oral anticoagulants are recommended in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) patients who have either had a prior stroke, TIA, or have a CHA_2DS_2-VASc score > 2. Options for these patients include warfarin (INR 2 to 3) (Level of Evidence: A), dabigatran (Pradaxa), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), or apixaban (Eliquis) (all level of evidence B). In NVAF patients who have a CHA_2DS_2-VASc score of 1, no treatment or aspirin may be considered (Class 2; Level B) and in NVAF patients with a CHA_2DS_2-VASc score of 0, it is reasonable to omit antithrombotic therapy (Class 2; Level A). Edoxaban (Savaysa) was not available at the time these guidelines were released; however, it is FDA approved to reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with NVAF.

There is consensus throughout the published guidelines that all AF patients with mechanical heart valves should be treated with warfarin. Dabigatran (Pradaxa) is contraindicated in patients with mechanical heart valves due to an increased risk of bleeding.[29,30] Patients with AF and end-stage chronic kidney disease (CKD) (creatinine clearance [CrCL] < 15 mL/min) or those receiving hemodialysis should be treated with warfarin (Class IIa; Level B). Dabigatran (Pradaxa) and rivaroxaban (Xarelto) should not be used in patients with end-stage CKD or receiving hemodialysis due to lack of evidence regarding the balance between risks and benefits (Class III; Level C). Dosage recommendations are available for the use of dabigatran (Pradaxa), apixaban (Eliquis), and rivaroxaban (Xarelto) in patients with moderate to severe CKD and a CHA_2DS_2-VASc score >2; however, safety and efficacy have not been established (Class IIb; Level C).[31] Bridging therapy with UFH or LMWH for patients who require interruption of oral anticoagulant therapy should be considered. Considerations include the oral anticoagulant being interrupted, whether or not the patient has a mechanical heart valve, and the

duration of time a patient will not be anticoagulated. These decisions should balance the risks of stroke and bleeding (Class I; Level C).[32]

According to the Center for Disease Control (CDC), stroke is the fourth leading cause of death behind heart disease, cancer, and chronic lower respiratory diseases.[33] The 2014 American Academy of Neurology (AAN) guidelines for the prevention of stroke in NVAF conclude dabigatran (Pradaxa) 150 mg twice daily is likely more effective than warfarin with a decreased risk for intracranial hemorrhage.[34] The guidelines also conclude rivaroxaban (Xarelto) is probably as effective as warfarin in preventing stroke or systemic embolism with a lesser frequency of intracranial hemorrhage and fatal bleeding. The AAN guidelines state apixaban (Eliquis) 5 mg twice daily has been shown to result in a reduced mortality compared to warfarin due to a decreased risk of bleeding, including intracranial bleeding, rather than its effect on reduction of cerebral or systemic embolism compared to warfarin.[35] These guidelines also provide comparisons between the effectiveness and safety of the oral anticoagulants to antiplatelet agents, such as aspirin and clopidogrel.[36] Edoxaban (Savaysa) was not available at the time the 2014 AAN guidelines were published; it is therefore not included in the AAN review. Unresolved issues surrounding the use of the new anticoagulants in the setting of NVAF include the lack of data comparing these drugs to one another (all were compared only to warfarin) and the short duration of follow-up given the long-term real-world indication. In addition, drug activity cannot be assessed in routine clinical practice which may lead to under- or over-treatment of patients, questionable safety of treatment for an acute ischemic stroke with a thrombolytic agent in patients receiving apixaban (Eliquis), dabigatran (Pradaxa), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), or edoxaban (Savaysa) and the lack of an antidote in the setting of acute hemorrhage. However, some of these issues are being addressed. The FDA approved idarucizumab (Praxbind®), a humanized monoclonal antibody fragment, in October 2015 to reverse anticoagulation for emergency or urgent procedures and life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding in patients treated with dabigatran.[37]

PHARMACOLOGY[38,39,40,41,42,43]

Unfractionated heparin and LMWH (dalteparin [Fragmin], enoxaparin [Lovenox]) are classified as indirect thrombin inhibitors because these agents exert anticoagulant action, in part, by binding to and potentiating the activity of antithrombin III (ATIII), a naturally occurring thrombin inhibitor. UFH exerts its anticoagulant effect by enhancing the capacity of ATIII to inactivate thrombin. LMWH also produces anticoagulant action through ATIII; however, LMWH primarily inhibits clotting factor Xa rather than thrombin. Therefore, LMWH has less effects on partial thromboplastin time (PTT), virtually eliminating the need for laboratory monitoring. LMWH exhibits more consistent bioavailability, resulting in less interpatient dose-response variation and permitting standardized dosing. Another advantage of LMWH is the ease of SC route of administration. In addition, the incidence of thrombocytopenia appears to be lower with LMWH than with UFH.[44]

Fondaparinux (Arixtra) is a selective factor Xa inhibitor which binds to ATIII. By inhibiting factor Xa, thrombin generation and thrombus formation are inhibited without direct effects on thrombin. Also, fondaparinux does not bind significantly to platelet factor 4, a factor involved in immune-related heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT).

Dabigatran etexilate (Pradaxa) is an oral prodrug of dabigatran. Dabigatran and its active metabolites (acyl glucuronides) are competitive, direct thrombin inhibitors of both free and clot-bound thrombin. Dabigatran reversibly inhibits the active site of thrombin and prevents thrombin-induced platelet aggregation and the development of a thrombus by preventing the thrombin-mediated conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin during the coagulation cascade.[45] INR is relatively insensitive to the exposure to dabigatran and cannot be interpreted the same way as used for warfarin monitoring. The aPTT test provides an approximation of dabigatran's anticoagulant effect.

Apixaban (Eliquis), edoxaban (Savaysa), and rivaroxaban (Xarelto) are oral direct factor Xa inhibitors. These agents reversibly block the active site of factor Xa in a selective manner and do not require a cofactor, such as ATIII, for activity.[46] The anticoagulant effect of apixaban, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban cannot be monitored with standard laboratory testing (e.g., INR, aPPT).

Warfarin inhibits the synthesis of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors II, VII, IX, and X and anticoagulant proteins C and S. Warfarin interferes with clotting factor synthesis by inhibition of the C1 subunit of the vitamin K epoxide reductase (VKORC1) enzyme complex, which reduces the regeneration of vitamin K1 epoxide. The degree of depression is dependent on the warfarin dose, and, to some extent, by the patient's VKORC1 genotype. The anticoagulant effects of warfarin are stereoselective; the S-isomer of warfarin is 3 to 5 times more potent than the R-isomer, but generally has a more rapid clearance. Therapeutic doses of warfarin decrease the total amount of active vitamin K dependent clotting factors made by the liver by 30% to 50%.

An anticoagulation effect generally occurs within 24 hours after administering warfarin. However, peak anticoagulant effects may be delayed 72 to 96 hours. The duration of action of a single dose of racemic warfarin is 2 to 5 days. Warfarin does not directly affect established thrombus and does not reverse ischemic tissue damage. Warfarin therapy prevents further extension of the formed clot and prevents secondary thromboembolic complications.

PHARMACOKINETICS[47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60]

Drug	Bioavailability (%)	Half-life* (hrs)	Average molecular weight (daltons)	Anti-Xa : Anti-IIa activity	Peak Anti-Xa activity (hrs)
Injectable					
dalteparin (Fragmin)	87	2-5	5,000	2-4: 1	4
enoxaparin (Lovenox)	~ 100	4.5-7	4,500	2.7-3.7: 1	3-5
fondaparinux (Arixtra)	~ 100	17-21	1,728	Anti-Xa only	3

Data presented for pharmacokinetics are for SC administration of all products.

*Delayed elimination of all the products may occur with severe liver or kidney insufficiency.

Pharmacokinetics (continued)

Drug	Bioavailability (%)	Half-life* (hrs)	Metabolism	Excretion (%)
Oral				
apixaban (Eliquis)[61]	50	12	CYP 3A4 major CYP1A2, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 minor O-demethylation and hydroxylation	Urine-27 Feces-50
dabigatran (Pradaxa)	3-7	12-17	Esterase-catalyzed hydrolysis	Urine
edoxaban (Savaysa)	62	10-14	hydrolysis, conjugation, and oxidation by CYP3A4	Urine-50
rivaroxaban (Xarelto)	80-100 (10 mg) 66 (20 mg)*	5-13	Oxidative degeneration catalyzed by CYP3A4 & CYP2J2	Urine-66 Feces-28
warfarin (Coumadin)	100; with peak concentration generally reached within first 4 hours	20-60 (mean 40)	Hepatic-primarily via CYP2C9	Urine-92 primarily as metabolites

* The absolute bioavailability of rivaroxaban is dose-dependent. The estimated bioavailability for 10 mg dose is 80% to 100%, and it is not affected by food. The absolute bioavailability for the 20 mg dose is approximately 66% in a fasted state and increases when administered with food. Both the 15 mg and 20 mg doses should be administered with food.

CONTRAINDICATIONS/WARNINGS[62,63,64,65,66,67,68,69]

Parenteral

All injectable agents in the class carry a black box warning regarding the risk of spinal/epidural hematomas when neuraxial anesthesia (epidural/spinal anesthesia) or spinal puncture is performed in patients who are anticoagulated or scheduled to be anticoagulated with LMWHs, heparinoids, or fondaparinux (Arixtra) for prevention of thromboembolic complications. Epidural or spinal hematomas can result in long-term or permanent paralysis. Patients at highest risk are those with indwelling epidural catheters for administration of analgesia and patients concurrently on NSAIDs, platelet inhibitors, and other anticoagulants. Increased risk is also seen in traumatic or repeated epidural or spinal puncture. Frequent monitoring for signs and symptoms of neurologic impairment should be performed. The benefit and risks of LMWH or fondaparinux therapy should be considered before neuraxial intervention. Fondaparinux and LMWH are contraindicated in patients with active major bleeding, as well as in patients with anti-platelet antibody associated thrombocytopenia. Thrombocytopenia of any degree should be monitored closely. If the platelet count falls below 100,000 cells/mm^3, discontinuation of therapy should be considered. LMWH should be used with extreme caution in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT).

LMWHs are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to any LMWH, UFH, or pork products. In addition, hypersensitivity to benzyl alcohol is considered a contraindication only for the multi-dose formulation of enoxaparin. Dalteparin multi-dose vials contain benzyl alcohol as a preservative.

Enoxaparin (Lovenox) labeling includes recommendations for catheter placement or removal if the procedure is required. The placement or removal of a catheter should be delayed for at least 12 hours after administration of low doses (30 mg once or twice daily or 40 mg once daily) of enoxaparin and at least 24 hours after the administration of higher doses (0.75 mg/kg twice daily, 1 mg/kg twice daily, or 1.5 mg/kg once daily) of enoxaparin. These delays are not a guarantee that neuraxial hematoma will be avoided. Patients receiving the 0.75 mg/kg or 1 mg/kg twice daily dose should not receive the second dose in the twice daily regimen to allow a longer delay before catheter placement or removal. Consideration should be given to delaying the next dose for at least 4 hours based on individual risk factors. For patients with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min, elimination of enoxaparin is more prolonged; therefore, consider doubling the timing of removal of a catheter, at least 24 hours for the lower prescribed dose (30 mg once daily) and at least 48 hours for the higher dose (1 mg/kg/day).

Dalteparin (Fragmin) is contraindicated in unstable angina, non-Q-wave MI, or acute venous thromboembolism in patients undergoing regional anesthesia. In addition, dalteparin is contraindicated for prolonged VTE prophylaxis.

These agents are primarily eliminated by the kidneys and should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency due to increased risk of major bleeding.

Fondaparinux (Arixtra) is contraindicated in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCL < 30 mL/min). In patients with body weight less than 50 kg, fondaparinux is contraindicated when used for prophylaxis therapy with abdominal surgery, hip fracture surgery, or hip or knee replacement surgery and should be used with caution for treatment of PE and DVT due to increased risk of bleeding. Fondaparinux is also contraindicated in patients with active major bleeding or with bacterial endocarditis due to increased risk of bleeding. Fondaparinux is contraindicated in patients with a serious hypersensitivity reaction (e.g., angioedema, anaphylactoid/anaphylactic reactions) to fondaparinux and in cases of thrombocytopenia associated with a positive *in vitro* test for anti-platelet antibody in the presence of fondaparinux. In addition, the prefilled syringe of fondaparinux contains dry natural latex rubber that may cause allergic reactions in those allergic to latex.

For enoxaparin use associated with PCI, hemostasis at the puncture site should be obtained before sheath removal following percutaneous coronary revascularization.

Enoxaparin has not been adequately studied in pregnant women with mechanical prosthetic heart valves. LMWHs cannot be used interchangeably (unit for unit) with heparin or other LMWHs as they differ in manufacturing process, molecular weight distribution, anti-Xa and anti-IIa activities, units, and dosage.

Oral

Apixaban (Eliquis), dabigatran (Pradaxa), edoxaban (Savaysa), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), and warfarin (Coumadin) are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to the product.

Apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban all carry a boxed warning regarding the increased risk of spinal/epidural hematomas when neuraxial anesthesia (epidural/spinal anesthesia) or spinal puncture is performed. Epidural or spinal hematomas can result in long-term or permanent paralysis. Patients at highest risk are those with indwelling epidural catheters for administration of analgesics and patients concurrently on NSAIDs, platelet inhibitors, and other anticoagulants. Increased risk is also seen in those with a history of traumatic or repeated epidural or spinal puncture, spinal deformity,

or spinal surgery. Frequent monitoring for signs and symptoms of neurologic impairment should be performed. The benefit and risk should be considered before neuraxial intervention in anticoagulated patients or patients to be anticoagulated for thromboprophylaxis. Epidural catheters should not be withdrawn earlier than 12 hours after the last edoxaban dose, 18 hours after the last rivaroxaban dose, and 24 hours after the last apixaban dose. The next dose of rivaroxaban should be held for at least 6 hours, the next dose of apixaban should be held for at least 5 hours, and the next dose of edoxaban should be held for at least 2 hours post catheter removal. Withhold rivaroxaban for 24 hours and apixaban for 48 hours following traumatic epidural or spinal puncture. Specific timing recommendations are not given for dabigatran. The pharmacokinetic profile of dabigatran should be considered; however, the exact timing to reach a sufficiently low anticoagulant effect in each patient is not known.

Due to their rapid onset and offset of action, missing even 1 dose of the newer oral anticoagulant agents could result in a period without protection from thromboembolism. As a result, apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban carry boxed warnings stating that premature discontinuation of any of these agents can increase the risk of thromboembolism, and coverage with another anticoagulant may be needed. If therapy with any of these agents must be discontinued for a reason other than pathological bleeding or completion of a course of therapy, consider administering another anticoagulant. Afterwards, restart the oral anticoagulant as soon as medically appropriate.

Apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, rivaroxaban, and warfarin increase the risk of bleeding and can cause serious and, sometimes, fatal bleeding. Warfarin is contraindicated in patients with bleeding/hemorrhagic tendencies or blood dyscrasias. Apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban are contraindicated with active major or pathological bleeding. Concurrent use of the oral agents with drugs that increase the risk of bleeding (e.g., anti-platelet agents, heparin, fibrinolytic therapy, and chronic use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]) and labor and delivery can increase bleeding risk. Promptly evaluate any signs or symptoms of blood loss (e.g., a drop in hemoglobin and/or hematocrit or hypotension). Reversal of the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran by idarucizumab (Praxbind) may be used for emergency surgery, urgent procedures, and in life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding. Bleeding is more likely to occur during drug warfarin initiation and dose escalation (resulting in a higher INR). Risk factors for bleeding include high intensity anticoagulation (INR > 4), age ≥ 65 years, highly variable INRs, history of gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, serious heart disease, anemia, malignancy, trauma, renal insufficiency, and long duration of warfarin therapy.

Warfarin is contraindicated in pregnancy, except in women with mechanical heart valves, as it can cause congenital malformations, fetal hemorrhage, and spontaneous abortion. It is also contraindicated in situations of threatened abortion, eclampsia, and preeclampsia.

The manufacturers of apixaban, dabigatran, and rivaroxaban caution their use in pregnancy due to potential obstetric hemorrhage and/or emergent delivery.

Other warfarin contraindications include recent or contemplated surgery of the central nervous system (CNS) or eye, traumatic surgery resulting in large open surfaces, unsupervised patients with potential high levels of non-compliance, spinal puncture and other diagnostic or therapeutic procedures with potential for uncontrollable bleeding, major regional or lumbar block anesthesia, and malignant hypertension.

Although lapses in therapy should be minimized, dabigatran should be stopped 1 to 2 days before elective surgery in patients with CrCL ≥ 50 mL/min or 3 to 5 days prior in patients with CrCL < 50 mL/min, to lessen bleeding risk. Longer lapses of dabigatran therapy may be necessary for patients undergoing major surgery, spinal puncture, or placement of a spinal or epidural catheter or port. Edoxaban should be discontinued at least 24 hours before invasive or surgical procedures because of the risk of bleeding. Edoxaban can be restarted after the procedure as soon as adequate hemostasis has been established.

Dabigatran is contraindicated in patients with a mechanical prosthetic heart valve. The European RE-ALIGN study was stopped because patients on dabigatran were more likely to experience strokes, myocardial infarction, and thromboembolism forming on the mechanical heart valves than those on warfarin. There was also more bleeding after valve surgery in the dabigatran users than in patients on warfarin.

Use of apixaban or rivaroxaban is not recommended in patients with prosthetic heart valves since safety and efficacy have not been studied in this population. The safety and efficacy of edoxaban have not been studied in patients with mechanical heart valves or moderate to severe mitral stenosis and the use of edoxaban in these patients is not recommended.

With regard to warfarin therapy in patients with prosthetic heart valves, INR target is dependent on the type and positioning of the specific valve.

Thrombocytopenia with dabigatran has been identified as an adverse drug reaction during the post-marketing period.

Initiation of apixaban or rivaroxaban is not recommended acutely as an alternative to UFH in patients with PE who present with hemodynamic instability or who may receive thrombolysis or pulmonary embolectomy.

P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibition and renal insufficiency are major independent factors resulting in increased exposure to dabigatran and risk of bleeding. Renal function should be evaluated before initiating dabigatran and periodically throughout therapy. Discontinue dabigatran in patients who develop acute renal failure and consider alternate anticoagulation. Concomitant use of P-gp inhibitors (e.g., rifampin) reduces exposure to dabigatran and should be avoided. Use of P-gp inhibitors in patients with renal impairment is expected to increase exposure to dabigatran above that observed with either factor alone. Dosage adjustments should be considered.

Concomitant use of other drugs that are combined P-gp and CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., ketoconazole, itraconazole, lopinavir/ritonavir, ritonavir, indinavir, and conivaptan) increases rivaroxaban exposure and may increase bleeding risk.

Apixaban increases the risk of bleeding and can cause serious, potentially fatal, bleeding. Use of concomitant drugs affecting hemostasis, such as aspirin and other antiplatelet drugs, other anticoagulants, heparin, thrombolytic agents, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors, and NSAIDs, increase the risk of bleeding.

There is no established way to reverse the anticoagulant effect of apixaban, edoxaban, or rivaroxaban. Idarucizumab (Praxbind) may be used to reverse the anticoagulant effects of dabigatran.[70] Apixaban and edoxaban effects can be expected to persist for approximately 24 hours after the last dose. Use of procoagulant reversal agents, such as prothrombin complex concentrate, activated prothrombin complex concentrate, recombinant factor VIIa, or concentrates of coagulation factors II, IX, or X, may be considered but has not been evaluated in clinical studies. Protamine sulfate and Vitamin K are not expected to affect the anticoagulant activity of apixaban, dabigatran, or rivaroxaban. Partial reversal of prothrombin time prolongation has been seen after administration of prothrombin complex concentrates (PCCs) in healthy volunteers given rivaroxaban. Activated charcoal reduces absorption of apixaban, thereby lowering apixaban plasma concentration.

Regular INR monitoring should be performed on all patients on warfarin. Many factors, alone or in combination, including changes in diet, medications, herbal medications, and genetic variations in the CYP2C9 enzymes involved in metabolic clearance of warfarin and VKORC1 enzymes (which recycles vitamin K and is required for gamma carboxylation of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors), may affect patient response to warfarin. Both endogenous and exogenous factors, alone or in combination, may be responsible for increased PT/INR response. Patients at high risk for bleeding may benefit from more frequent INR monitoring, careful dose adjustment to desired INR, and a shorter duration of therapy. Patients should be educated about methods of reducing the risk of bleeding, as well as immediately reporting signs and symptoms of bleeding to physicians.

Necrosis and/or gangrene of skin and other tissues have been reported (< 0.1%) with warfarin use. Hemorrhage and necrosis have, in some cases, resulted in death or permanent disability.

Systemic atheroemboli and cholesterol microemboli can present with a variety of signs and symptoms including purple toes syndrome, livedo reticularis, rash, gangrene, abrupt and intense pain in the leg, foot, or toes, foot ulcers, myalgia, penile gangrene, abdominal pain, flank or back pain, hematuria, renal insufficiency, hypertension, cerebral ischemia, spinal cord infarction, pancreatitis, symptoms simulating polyarteritis, or any other sequelae of vascular compromise due to embolic occlusion. The most commonly involved visceral organs are the kidneys, followed by the pancreas, spleen, and liver. Some cases have progressed to necrosis or death.

Do not use warfarin as initial therapy in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and with or without thrombosis syndrome. Cases of venous limb ischemia, necrosis, and gangrene have been reported in patients with HIT and DVT when heparin treatment was discontinued and warfarin therapy was started or continued. In some patients, sequelae have included amputation of the involved area and/or death. Treatment with warfarin may be considered after the platelet count has normalized.

Treatment of each patient with warfarin is a highly individualized matter.

Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS)[71]

A Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) is required for apixaban (Eliquis) which includes a communication plan to inform healthcare professionals when discontinuing apixaban an adequate alternative anticoagulant is needed to prevent an increased risk of thrombotic events (e.g., stroke) in patients with NVAF.

DRUG INTERACTIONS[72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80]

Due to the increased risk of bleeding, injectable anticoagulants should be used with caution with oral anticoagulants or platelet inhibitors, including aspirin, salicylates, NSAIDs, dipyridamole, dextran, ticlopidine, clopidogrel (Plavix®), and thrombolytics.

The concomitant use of dabigatran (Pradaxa) with P-gp inducers, such as rifampin, reduces exposure to dabigatran and should generally be avoided. P-gp inhibition and impaired renal function are the major independent factors that result in increased exposure to dabigatran. Concomitant use of P-gp inhibitors in patients with renal impairment is expected to produce increased exposure of dabigatran compared to that seen with either factor alone. Exposure to dabigatran is higher when it is administered with dronedarone (Multaq®) or systemic ketoconazole than when it is administered alone. When using dabigatran to reduce the risk of stoke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, consider reducing the dose of dabigatran to 75 mg twice daily when dronedarone or systemic ketoconazole is co-administered with dabigatran in patients with moderate renal impairment (CrCL 30 to 50 mL/min). The use of the P-gp inhibitors verapamil, amiodarone, quinidine, clarithromycin, and ticagrelor does not require a dose adjustment of dabigatran. These results should not be extrapolated to other P-gp inhibitors. The concomitant use of dabigatran and P-gp inhibitors in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCL 15 to 30 mL/min) should be avoided in patients with NVAF. When used for the treatment and reduction in the risk of recurrence of DVT or PE, the concomitant use of dabigatran and P-gp inhibitors should be avoided in patients with CrCL < 50 mL/min.

Apixaban (Eliquis) is a substrate of both CYP3A4 and P-gp enzymes. Inhibitors of CYP3A4 and P-gp increase exposure to apixaban and increase the risk of bleeding. Inducers of CYP3A4 and P-gp decrease exposure to apixaban and increase the risk of stroke. A dosage decrease to 2.5 mg twice daily of apixaban is advised when it is co-administered with strong dual inhibitors of CPY3A4 and P-gp (e.g., ketoconazole, itraconazole, ritonavir, clarithromycin). If a patient is already taking a dose of 2.5 mg daily, avoid concomitant use with strong dual CYP3A4/P-gp inhibitors. Concomitant use of apixaban with strong dual inducers of CYP3A4 and P-gp (e.g., rifampin, carbamazepine, phenytoin, St. John's wort) can decrease the exposure of apixaban and should be avoided. Co-administration of apixaban and antiplatelet agents (e.g., fibrinolytics, heparin, aspirin, and chronic NSAIDs) increases the risk of bleeding.

Edoxaban (Savaysa) is a substrate of the P-gp transporter. The concomitant use of edoxaban with rifampin (a P-gp inducer) should be avoided. There are no dose alterations recommended in patients with NVAF receiving concomitant P-gp inhibitors (e.g., ketoconazole, verapamil, erythromycin, cyclosporine, amiodarone). However, based on the Hokusai VTE study, patients receiving edoxaban for the treatment of DVT and/or PE should have their dose reduced to edoxaban 30 mg once daily if they are receiving specific concomitant P-gp inhibitors (verapamil and quinidine or the short-term concomitant administration of azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin, oral itraconazole, or oral ketoconazole).[81]

Rivaroxaban (Xarelto) is a substrate of CYP3A4 and CYP3A5. Rivaroxaban is neither an inhibitor nor an inducer of CYP450 enzymes. It is a substrate of CYP3A4, CYP2J2, and P-gp. Avoid concomitant use of rivaroxaban with combined P-gp and strong CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., ketoconazole, itraconazole, ritonavir) as they increase rivaroxaban concentrations. In patients with renal impairment on concomitant combined P-gp and weak or moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., amiodarone, diltiazem,

dronedarone, felodipine, macrolides, quinidine, ranolazine, and verapamil), avoid use unless the benefit outweighs the bleeding risk, since these patients may be at increased bleeding risk. Avoid concomitant use of rivaroxaban with combined P-gp and strong CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., carbamazepine, phenytoin, rifampin, St. John's wort). Avoid use with other drugs that affect hemostasis, such as anticoagulants, fibrinolytics, NSAIDS/aspirin, and antiplatelet drugs. Avoid concomitant use with clopidogrel, unless the benefit outweighs the bleeding risk.

Drug-drug interactions with warfarin (Coumadin) can occur through pharmacodynamic or pharmacokinetic mechanisms. Pharmacodynamic mechanisms for drug interactions include synergism (impaired hemostasis, reduced clotting factor synthesis), competitive antagonism (vitamin K), and altered physiologic control loop for vitamin K metabolism (hereditary resistance). Pharmacokinetic mechanisms for drug interactions with warfarin are primarily enzyme induction, enzyme inhibition, and reduced plasma protein binding. Some drugs may interact by more than one mechanism.

Caution is recommended when warfarin is administered concomitantly with NSAIDs, including aspirin, to be certain that no change in warfarin dosage is needed. In addition to specific drug interactions that might affect PT/INR, NSAIDs (including aspirin) can inhibit platelet aggregation and lead to GI bleeding, peptic ulceration, and/or perforation.

Warfarin is stereoselectively metabolized by hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoenzymes to inactive, hydroxylated metabolites (predominant route) and by reductases to reduced metabolites (warfarin alcohols which have minimal anticoagulant activity). The CYP isoenzymes involved in the metabolism of warfarin include 2C9, 2C19, 2C8, 2C18, 1A2, and 3A4. CYP2C9 is the major enzyme that metabolizes S-warfarin and modulates the *in vivo* activity of warfarin. CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 metabolize the R-isomer. Inhibitors of CYP1A2, 2C9, and 3A4 may increase the exposure of warfarin and, hence, increase its effect. Inducers of these enzymes may in turn decrease warfarin's effect.

CYP2C9 gene and VKORC1 gene variants generally explain the largest proportion of known variability in warfarin dose requirements. Genetic polymorphism of CYP2C9 may play a role in the interpatient variability of response to warfarin, as well as predisposition to drug interactions. The variant alleles, CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3, result in decreased hydroxylation of S-warfarin and decrease its clearance; the presence of more than 1 of the CYP2C9 variant alleles further decreases clearance. In Caucasians, the frequency of the CYP2C9*2 variant is 8% to 20%, while the frequency of the CYP2C9*3 variant is 6% to 10%. The presence of CYP2C9*2 and *3 variant alleles in Blacks and Asians is much lower (0% to 4%); other CYP2C9 alleles that may decrease warfarin metabolism occur at lower frequencies in all races. Poor CYP2C9 metabolizers are more dependent on the metabolism of S-warfarin by the CYP3A4 pathway. Drugs that affect any of the enzymes involved in the metabolism of warfarin may alter the anticoagulation response. As a result, drugs that preferentially induce S-warfarin metabolism impair coagulation to a greater degree than those that induce the metabolism of R-warfarin.

In addition, variants in the gene encoding vitamin K epoxide reductase complex 1 (VKORC1) may be responsible for approximately 25% to 30% of warfarin dose variances.[82,83] There are 2 main VKORC1 haplotypes: low-dose haplotype group (A) and a high-dose haplotype group (B). African Americans can have a higher proportion of group B haplotypes and are, on average, relatively resistant to warfarin, while Asian Americans may have a higher proportion of group A haplotypes and are generally more sensitive to warfarin.

Exogenous administration of vitamin K, such as enteral feedings, certain multivitamins, and many foods, can decrease or reverse the activity of warfarin. Patient response to warfarin usually returns after stopping the vitamin K-containing agent. Foods that contain large to moderate amounts of vitamin K include green tea, brussels sprouts, leafy greens, asparagus, avocado, broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, liver, soy products, lentils, peas, and green scallions. Medical products that contain soybean oil, such as intravenous lipid emulsions or propofol, can decrease warfarin anticoagulation. Patients should patients avoid large amounts/frequent servings of vitamin K-containing foods or maintain a constant vitamin K diet. Some botanicals may have anticoagulant, antiplatelet, and/or fibrinolytic properties (e.g., garlic and ginkgo biloba). These effects may be additive to the anticoagulant effects of warfarin. Conversely, some botanicals may decrease the effects of warfarin (e.g., co-enzyme Q10, St. John's wort, and ginseng). Some botanicals and foods can interact with warfarin through CYP450 interactions (e.g., echinacea, grapefruit juice, ginkgo, goldenseal, St. John's wort).

In patients treated with warfarin, additional PT/INR determinations are recommended whenever other medications, including botanicals, are initiated, discontinued, or taken irregularly.

ADVERSE EFFECTS[84,85,86,87,88,89,90]

Drug	Major Bleeding	Thrombocytopenia	Injection Site Reactions
Injectable			
dalteparin (Fragmin)	0-4.6	< 1	2-12
enoxaparin (Lovenox)	< 1-4	0.1-1.3	Reported
fondaparinux (Arixtra)	2.2-3.4	0.04-3	Reported

Adverse effects are reported as a percentage. Adverse effects data are obtained from package inserts, are not comparative, or all inclusive. All adverse effects are reported for prophylaxis.

Direct comparison of bleeding risks among the injectable anticoagulants is difficult due to different definitions of bleeding in various clinical studies.

Most common adverse effects with dabigatran (Pradaxa) in clinical trials were gastritis-like symptoms (> 15%) and bleeding. Gastrointestinal complaints leading to discontinuation included dyspepsia, nausea, upper abdominal pain, GI hemorrhage, and diarrhea; specific percentages were not reported. Serious bleeding, including intracranial hemorrhage, life threatening bleeding, and major bleeds, were reported in dabigatran and warfarin treatment groups. The percentage of any bleed for dabigatran and warfarin was 16.6% and 18.4%, respectively. There was a higher rate of major GI bleeds in patients receiving dabigatran 150 mg than in patients receiving warfarin (1.6% versus 1.1%, respectively; hazard ratio versus warfarin, 1.5; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.2 to 1.9), and a higher rate of any GI bleeds (6.1% versus 4%, respectively). In 2012, after review of new information, the FDA concluded that bleeding rates associated with new use of dabigatran do not appear to be higher than bleeding rates associated with new use of warfarin, which is consistent with observations from the RELY trial.[91] As a follow-up to the ongoing safety review of this issue, the FDA completed a study which looked at data from 134,000 Medicare patients. Compared to warfarin, dabigatran had reduced risk of ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, and death. Dabigatran was found to have an increased risk of GI bleeding compared to warfarin. No difference was found in the risk of myocardial infarction. These data were consistent with the pivotal RELY trial except RELY had an increased risk of MI. The FDA

concluded that the benefits of therapy outweigh the risk and required no changes to dabigatran's labeling.[92]

The most serious adverse events reported with apixaban (Eliquis) in clinical trials were bleeding related. The safety of apixaban 5 mg (n=11,284) and 2.5 mg (n=602) twice daily was evaluated in the ARISTOTLE and AVERROES studies. Mean duration of apixaban was 89 weeks for the ARISTOTLE and 59 weeks for AVERROES. Major bleeding was reported in 2.1% of patients on apixaban and 3.1% on warfarin (hazard ratio [HR], 0.69; 95% CI, 0.6 to 0.8; p<0.0001). Clinically relevant non-major bleeding occurred in 2.1% and 3% of patients on apixaban and warfarin (HR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.6 to 0.8; p<0.0001). Discontinuation due to bleeding-related adverse reactions in ARISTOTLE occurred in 1.7% and 2.5% of patients treated with apixaban and warfarin, respectively, and in AVERROES, in 1.5% and 1.3% of patients on apixaban and aspirin, respectively. In the ARISTOTLE study, major bleeding did not differ based on age and weight. Other adverse events reported for apixaban were hypersensitivity reactions and syncope in less than 1% of patients.

The most common adverse reactions to edoxaban (Savaysa) are bleeding, anemia, rash, and abnormal liver function tests. In the NVAF trial, bleeding led to discontinuation of edoxaban in 3.9% of cases; this was lower than the 4.1% discontinuation rate due to bleeding with warfarin. The most common site of major bleeding with edoxaban was the GI tract. In patients with DVT and/or PE treated with edoxaban, the incidence of clinically relevant bleeding was lower with edoxaban compared to warfarin (HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.94; p=0.004).

The most common adverse event with rivaroxaban (Xarelto) for DVT prophylaxis or treatment, as well as in NVAF, is bleeding. In DVT prophylaxis clinical trials, the risk of bleeding was similar to that of enoxaparin (Lovenox) 40 mg once daily. Major bleeding was seen in less than 1% of patients (for both hip and knee replacement surgery). During rivaroxaban treatment, the majority of major bleeding complications (≥ 60%) occurred during the first week after surgery. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) greater than 3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) was seen in 2.6% versus 3.8% of patients on rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin in the RECORD 1-3 trial. The rate of discontinuation due to bleeding events in the treatment of DVT and PE was 1.7% with rivaroxaban and 1.5% with enoxaparin/vitamin K antagonist regimen. In the NVAF setting, major bleeding, bleeding into a critical organ (mostly intracranial), fatal bleeding, bleeds resulting in transfusions, and GI bleeding was seen in 5.6% versus 5.4%, 1.3% versus 1.9%, 0.4% versus 0.8%, 2.6% versus 2.1%, and 3.1% versus 2% of rivaroxaban versus warfarin patients, respectively. The most frequent adverse reactions associated with permanent drug discontinuation were bleeding events: 4.3% for rivaroxaban and 3.1% for warfarin.

A 5-year post-marketing observational surveillance study utilized electronic medical records from a U.S. Department of Defense database to evaluate the safety of rivaroxaban in 27,467 patients with NVAF.[93] Major bleeding was reported as 2.86 per 100 person-years. The rate of death due to bleeding was 0.08 per 100 person-years. The most common site of bleeding was gastrointestinal (88.8%) followed by intracranial (7.5%). Major bleeding was reported more frequently in patients who were older, had hypertension, coronary heart disease, heart failure, renal disease, or greater $CHADS_2$ and CHA_2DS_2-VASc scores.

Adverse events with warfarin include fatal or nonfatal hemorrhage, including major bleeding from any tissue or organ. The incidence of major bleeding in the atrial fibrillation trials ranged from 0.6% to 2.7%. Hemorrhagic complications may present as paralysis; paresthesia; headache, chest, abdomen, joint, muscle or other pain; dizziness; shortness of breath, difficulty breathing or swallowing; unexplained swelling; weakness; hypotension; or unexplained shock. Bleeding can occur when the PT/INR is within the therapeutic range. Necrosis of skin and other tissues has been reported (< 0.1%).

There are no specific reversal agents for apixaban, edoxaban, or rivaroxaban. Fresh frozen plasma and red blood cells can be used for management of bleeding. Activated prothrombin complex concentrates, recombinant Factor VIIa, or concentrates of coagulation factors II, IX, or X may be considered. The use of these agents has not been studied in clinical trials. Activated charcoal to reduce absorption in case of apixaban or rivaroxaban overdose may be considered. Apixaban and rivaroxaban is not expected to be dialyzable, due to high plasma protein binding. Phytonadione (Vitamin K1) is the antidote for warfarin. Protamine is used as an antidote for LMWH and UFH. Idarucizumab (Praxbind) may be used to reverse the anticoagulant effects of dabigatran.[94] Dabigatran can be dialyzed; however, data supporting this approach are limited.

A meta-analysis of 11 studies (5 in AF; 6 in VTE; overall n=100,324) evaluated the risk of fatal hemorrhage with the newer oral anticoagulants (rivaroxaban, dabigatran, apixaban, and edoxaban) compared to VKAs or LMWHs followed by a VKA.[95] Overall, patients treated with newer oral anticoagulants had a lower risk of fatal bleeding compared to VKAs (odds ratio [OR], 0.53; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.42 to 0.68) and a LMWH followed by a VKA (OR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.15 to 0.8).

SPECIAL POPULATIONS[96,97,98,99,100,101,102,103]

Pediatrics

Safety and effectiveness of LMWH and fondaparinux (Arixtra) in pediatric patients have not been established. Since risk for bleeding during treatment with fondaparinux is increased in adults who weigh less than 50 kg, bleeding may be a particular safety concern for use of fondaparinux in the pediatric population.

Despite their unproven efficacy, LMWHs have rapidly become the anticoagulant of choice in many pediatric patients, both for primary prophylaxis and treatment of thromboembolism.[104] Potential advantages of LMWH in children include predictable pharmacokinetics requiring minimal monitoring, which is critically important in pediatric patients with poor or nonexistent venous access; SC administration; lack of drug or food interactions, such as those that exist for VKA; reduced risk of HIT; and probable reduced risk of osteoporosis with long-term use, which occurs with UFH. The guidelines point out that, although they use the term LMWH and present dosing schedules for a number of different LMWHs, the majority of all clinical data with respect to LMWH use in children is from studies that used enoxaparin.[105]

The 2012 ACCP guidelines recommend anticoagulant therapy with either UFH or LMWH in children with DVT (Grade 1B). Initial treatment with UFH or LMWH should be for at least 5 days (Grade 1B).[106] If warfarin will be subsequently prescribed, oral warfarin should be initiated as early as day 1 and discontinue LMWH or UFH on day 6 or later than day 6 if the INR has not exceeded 2 (Grade 1B). For ongoing therapy, the guidelines recommend LMWH or UFH. Warfarin or, alternatively, LMWH are recommended for children with idiopathic thromboembolism as in children with secondary thrombosis

(in whom the risk factor has resolved) for at least 6 to 12 months and at least 3 months, respectively (Grade 2C). In children with recurrent idiopathic VTE, ACCP recommends indefinite treatment with VKA (Grade 1A).

A study with 27 children evaluated enoxaparin for the treatment of DVT.[107] Neonates through adolescents were included. Doses of enoxaparin administered were 1.5 mg/kg twice daily for neonates and infants, and 1 mg/kg twice daily for children. Mean duration of treatment was 16.5 days followed by a mean prophylaxis period of 9.8 months. Anti-Xa activity treatment goals were achieved in 85% of patients. Re-thrombosis and HIT were not observed in any patient in the study.

Children over 3 months old with DVT were treated with enoxaparin to a target 4-hour anti-factor Xa activity between 0.5 to 0.8 IU/mL.[108] In the open-label trial of 80 children, the patients were stratified to receive once daily or twice daily doses of enoxaparin for a median duration of 5 months. Endpoints were post-thrombotic syndrome, re-thrombosis, bleeding, and therapy-related death. No significant differences were observed between treatment groups. No bleeding or therapy-related deaths occurred. The median follow-up was 24 months.

Safety and effectiveness of apixaban (Eliquis), dabigatran (Pradaxa), edoxaban (Savaysa), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), and warfarin (Coumadin) in pediatric patients have not been established. However, warfarin has been used in pediatric patients for the prevention and treatment of thromboembolic events. Difficulty achieving and maintaining therapeutic PT/INR ranges in the pediatric patient has been reported and more frequent PT/INR monitoring is recommended due to potential varying warfarin requirements.

Pregnancy

All injectable agents in this class are Pregnancy Category B. Apixaban is also Pregnancy Category B. Dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban are Pregnancy Category C. Warfarin is contraindicated in women who are pregnant except in pregnant women with mechanical heart valves who are at risk of thromboembolism, in which the benefits of warfarin use outweigh its risks.

Warfarin crosses the placenta and may cause fetal harm; exposure to warfarin in the first trimester of pregnancy caused congenital malformations in approximately 5% of exposed offspring. Adverse pregnancy outcomes have also been reported following warfarin exposure during the second and third trimesters. All patients receiving anticoagulants, including pregnant women, are at risk for bleeding. Pregnant women receiving enoxaparin should be carefully monitored for evidence of bleeding or excessive anticoagulation. Hemorrhage can occur at any site and may lead to death of mother and/or fetus.

For pregnant patients, the 2012 ACCP guidelines recommend LMWH for the prevention and treatment of VTE, instead of UFH (Grade 1B).[109] For women receiving anticoagulation for the treatment of VTE who become pregnant, ACCP recommends LMWH over VKA during the first trimester (Grade 1A), in the second and third trimesters (Grade 1B), and during late pregnancy when delivery is near (Grade 1A). For women requiring long-term VKA who are attempting pregnancy and are candidates for LMWH substitution, ACCP recommends performing frequent pregnancy tests and substituting LMWH for VKA once the patient is pregnant, instead of switching to LMWH while attempting to become pregnant (Grade 2C). For pregnant women, these guidelines recommend against oral direct thrombin (e.g., dabigatran) and anti-Xa (e.g., apixaban and rivaroxaban) inhibitors (Grade 1C).

In contrast to VKA, LMWH and UFH do not cross the placenta and do not have the potential to cause fetal bleeding and/or malformations.[110,111] Although the efficacy of LMWH and UFH for this indication has not been verified by randomized, controlled trials, extrapolation of data from non-pregnant patients, along with the relative safety in this patient population, support the recommendation. Because of the lack of data, the ACCP guidelines make no distinction among enoxaparin (Lovenox) or dalteparin (Fragmin) for this use. More randomized, well-controlled trials are needed to evaluate use of LMWH as prophylaxis in pregnancy and the early post-natal period, according to a systematic review.[112] There are only limited data available regarding the safety of fondaparinux (Arixtra) during pregnancy; therefore, the 2012 ACCP guidelines recommend against its general use in pregnancy.[113]

A substudy of the ongoing Thrombophilia in Pregnancy Prophylaxis study (TIPPS) determined long-term prophylactic dalteparin (Fragmin) in pregnancy did not result in a significant decrease in maternal bone mineral density.[114] Based on data from 62 patients, there was no difference in mean BMD between the patients receiving dalteparin or the control group.

The Efficacy of Thromboprophylaxis as an Intervention during Gravidity (EThIG) was a prospective trial of 810 pregnant women assigned to 1 of 3 management strategies according to predefined VTE risk factors.[115] The low risk (group I) received dalteparin 50 to 100 IU/kg body weight for 14 days postpartum. The high (group II) or very high risk (group III) received dalteparin 50 to 100 IU/kg/day and 100 to 200 IU/kg/day, respectively, from enrollment until 6 weeks postpartum. Symptomatic VTE occurred in 5 of 810 women (0.6%; 95% CI, 0.2 to 1.5) (group I, 0 of 225; II, 3/469; III, 2/116). Serious bleeding occurred in 3% (95% CI; 1.9 to 4.4); 1.1% (95% CI, 0.5 to 2.2) was possibly dalteparin-related. There was no evidence of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and 1 case of osteoporosis. Risk-stratified heparin prophylaxis was associated with a low incidence of symptomatic VTE and few clinically important adverse events.

Renal Impairment

The risk of bleeding with LMWH increases with creatinine clearance of less than 30 mL/min.[116] The dose and/or frequency of administration of enoxaparin (Lovenox) should be reduced to once daily in patients with severe renal insufficiency. In addition, if placement or removal of an epidural catheter is required, the procedure should be delayed at least 24 hours for the lower prescribed dose (30 mg once daily) and at least 48 hours for the higher dose (1 mg/kg/day) in patients with a creatinine clearance less than 30 mL/min.

Dalteparin (Fragmin) should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency. Fondaparinux (Arixtra) is contraindicated in patients with severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min).

Dalteparin (Fragmin) should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency, although specific dosage adjustment guidelines are not available.

The 2012 ACCP guidelines suggest a reduced dose when LMWHs are used in patients with severe renal insufficiency (Grade 2C).[117]

The recommended dose for apixaban (Eliquis) in patients with serum creatinine ≥ 1.5 mg/dL, if the patient is at least 80 years of age or weighs 60 kg or less, is 2.5 mg twice daily. The recommended dose for patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) maintained on hemodialysis is 5 mg twice daily. The dose should be reduced to 2.5 mg twice daily, if the patient is either greater than 80 years of age and/or a body weight of less than 60 kg.

Renal function should be evaluated prior to the start of therapy and should be re-assessed in clinical situations associated with declining function. If acute renal failure develops, discontinue dabigatran. For NVAF, no dose adjustment of dabigatran (Pradaxa) is recommended in patients with a creatinine clearance (CrCL) > 30 mL/min. Dabigatran dosage should be reduced in patients with CrCL 15 to 30 mL/min to 75 mg twice daily. No dosing recommendations are available per the product label for patients with CrCL < 15 mL/min or on dialysis; although hemodialysis can remove dabigatran, data supporting this approach are limited. P-gp inhibition and impaired renal function both result in increased exposure to dabigatran. In patients with a CrCL 30 mL/min to 50 mL/min, co-administration with P-gp inhibitors (dronedarone or ketoconazole) may increase exposure similar to that observed in patients with severe renal impairment; consider reducing the dabigatran dosage to 75 mg twice daily. Avoid co-administration of dabigatran and P-gp inhibitors in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCL 15 to 30 mL/min) using dabigatran for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. For DVT treatment or risk reduction, as well as prophylaxis of DVT and PE following hip replacement surgery, no dosing recommendations are provided for patients with a CrCL < 30 mL/min. Additionally, the concomitant use of P-gp inhibitors in patients with CrCL < 50 mL/min should be avoided in patients using dabigatran for this indication.

In the treatment of patients with NVAF, creatinine clearance should be assessed prior to initiating edoxaban (Savaysa) therapy. The recommended dose is edoxaban 60 mg once daily in patients with CrCL > 50 mL/min to ≤ 95 mL/min. Edoxaban should not be used in patients with a CrCL > 95 mL/min and should be reduced to 30 mg once daily in patients with CrCL 15 to 50 mL/min. The recommended dose for the treatment of DVT and/or PE is 60 mg once daily. The recommended dose is 30 mg once daily for patients with CrCL 15 to 50 mL/min. The use of edoxaban in patients with CrCL < 15 mL is not recommended due to lack of data in this patient population.

For DVT prophylaxis or treatment, rivaroxaban (Xarelto) is not recommended in patients with severe renal impairment (CrCL < 30 mL/min). It should be used with caution in patients with moderate renal impairment (CrCL 30 mL/min to < 50 mL/min); patients should be observed closely and signs and symptoms of blood loss should be promptly evaluated. Discontinue rivaroxaban if acute renal failure develops. In NVAF, rivaroxaban is dosed based on creatinine clearance. In such patients, avoid if CrCL < 15 mL/min. Due to high plasma protein binding, rivaroxaban is not expected to be dialyzable.

Patients with renal failure have an increased risk of bleeding complications; therefore, patients with moderate renal insufficiency who are taking warfarin should be monitored very closely.

Hepatic Impairment

Patients with hepatic impairment may be particularly vulnerable to bleeding during fondaparinux (Arixtra) therapy. Although not evaluated, enoxaparin (Lovenox) should be used with caution in patients with hepatic impairment.

Use of apixaban is not recommended in patients with severe hepatic impairment.

Patients taking dabigatran with mild to moderate hepatic impairment (Child Pugh B) demonstrated greater variability in pharmacokinetic parameters; no dosage adjustment information is provided for dabigatran.

The use of edoxaban in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh B and C) is not recommended as these patients may have intrinsic coagulation abnormalities. No dose reduction is required in patients with mild hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh A).

Avoid rivaroxaban in patients with moderate (Child-Pugh B) or severe (Child-Pugh C) hepatic impairment or with any hepatic disease with coagulopathy.

Anticoagulant response may be enhanced in obstructive jaundice, hepatitis, and cirrhosis. Monitor warfarin patients with moderate hepatic insufficiency more cautiously.

Geriatrics

In the major dabigatran clinical trial (RELY), 82% of patients were older than 65 years of age, while 40% were 75 years or older. The risk of stroke and bleeding increases with age, but the risk-benefit profile is favorable in all age groups.

In both the NVAF trial as well as the DVT/PE treatment trials, the efficacy and safety of edoxaban in elderly (65 years or older) and younger patients were similar.

In rivaroxaban clinical trials, no overall differences in effectiveness or safety were reported between patients < 65 years and those > 65 years of age. However, the elderly subjects exhibited an increase in drug exposure that may be caused by age-related changes in renal function.

Patients aged 60 years or older have a greater than expected PT/INR response to warfarin. Therefore, a lower dose of warfarin is usually required to produce a therapeutic level of anticoagulation, with increasing age.

Race

Asian patients may require lower initiation and maintenance doses of warfarin. Refer to the Drug Interactions section for further information. Healthy Japanese subjects were found to have 20% to 40% higher rivaroxaban exposures compared to other ethnicities including Chinese. However, these differences in exposure are reduced when values are corrected for body weight.

Pharmacogenomics

When available, the patient's CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotype information may assist in selection of the starting warfarin dose. Trials comparing genotype-guided dosing versus standard dosing have produced conflicting results and the utility of genotype-guided dosing to result in better outcomes is lacking at this time.[118,119] In all patients, subsequent dosage adjustments must be made based on the results of INR determinations. Please see Drug Interaction section for more information.

DOSAGES[120,121,122,123,124,125,126,127]

Parenteral

Drug	DVT prophylaxis					DVT Treatment (outpatient)*
	Hip Replacement**	Knee Replacement**	Hip Fracture Surgery**	Abdominal Surgery	Medical	
Injectable						
dalteparin (Fragmin)	5,000 units once daily for 5 to 10 days (up to 14 days given in clinical trials)	--	--	2,500 to 5,000 units once daily for 5 to 10 days	5,000 units once daily for 12 to 14 days	--
enoxaparin (Lovenox)	30 mg every 12 hours OR 40 mg once daily for 7 to 10 days (up to 14 days given in clinical trials)	30 mg every 12 hours for 7 to 10 days (up to 14 days given in clinical trials)	--	40 mg once daily for 7 to 10 days (up to 12 days given in clinical trials)	40 mg once daily for 6 to 11 days (up to 14 days given in clinical trials)	1 mg/kg every 12 hours
fondaparinux (Arixtra)	2.5 mg daily for 5 to 9 days (up to 11 days given in clinical trials)	2.5 mg daily for 5 to 9 days (up to 11 days given in clinical trials)	2.5 mg daily for 5 to 9 days and up to 24 days (a total of 32 days (peri-operative and extended prophylaxis) was administered in clinical trials)	2.5 mg daily for 5 to 9 days (up to 10 days given in clinical trials)	--	Based on patient's weight: < 50 kg: 5 mg daily 50-100 kg: 7.5 mg daily > 100 kg: 10 mg daily

All dosages are given subcutaneously.

*Given for at least 5 days and until a therapeutic oral anticoagulant effect is established (INR 2 to 3).

**The ACCP Chest guidelines recommend at least 10 to 14 days and an extended thromboprophylaxis of up to 35 days after major orthopedic surgery in patients undergoing total hip replacement, hip fracture, or knee replacement surgery (Grade 2B).[128]

Extended Treatment in Patients With Cancer and Symptomatic Venous Thromboembolism

Dalteparin (Fragmin): In these patients, dalteparin therapy begins with the initial VTE treatment and continues for 6 months. For the first 30 days, dalteparin 200 IU/kg SC is administered once daily. Dosage should not exceed 18,000 IU. For months 2 through 6, dalteparin is given as 150 IU/kg once daily. The daily dose of dalteparin should be reduced by 2,500 IU for patients who have reduced platelet counts (50,000 to 100,000/mm^3) until the platelet count exceeds 100,000/mm^3. Patients with platelet counts less than 50,000/mm^3 should not receive dalteparin until platelet count exceeds 50,000/mm^3. Dose reductions are also necessary for patients with impaired renal function.

Oral

Drug	Indications			
	Patients with Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation	**Prophylaxis of DVT/PE for Knee or Hip Replacement Surgery**	**Treatment of DVT/PE**	**To Prevent Recurrence of DVT/PE**
Oral*				
Apixaban (Eliquis)	5 mg twice daily	2.5 mg twice daily	10 mg twice daily x 7 days; 5 mg twice daily thereafter	2.5 mg twice daily
dabigatran (Pradaxa)	150 mg twice daily	110 mg on first day, then 220 mg once daily	150 mg twice daily after 5 to 10 days of parenteral anticoagulant	150 mg twice daily
edoxaban (Savaysa)	60 mg once daily	--	60 mg once daily after 5 to 10 days of parenteral anticoagulant	--
rivaroxaban (Xarelto)	20 mg once daily	10 mg once daily	15 mg twice daily for 21 days; 20 mg once daily thereafter	20 mg daily
Warfarin (Coumadin)	typical dose may be 2 mg to 5mg once daily but dose must be individualized based on INR	typical dose may be 2 mg to 5mg once daily but dose must be individualized based on INR	typical dose may be 2 mg to 5mg once daily but dose must be individualized based on INR	typical dose may be 2 mg to 5mg once daily but dose must be individualized based on INR

*Doses listed are based on patients with normal renal function; please see comments below for individualizing doses of some medications based on altered renal function.

apixaban (Eliquis)

The recommended dose of apixaban to reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with NVAF is 5 mg twice daily. A reduced dose of 2.5 mg twice daily is recommended in patients with at least 2 of the following: serum creatinine ≥ 1.5 mg/dL, age ≥ 80 years, and weight ≤ 60 kg. In addition, dosage reductions are recommended for those also on strong dual CYP3A4 and P-gp inhibitors.

For prophylaxis of DVT following hip or knee replacement surgery, the recommended dose of apixaban (Eliquis) is 2.5 mg twice daily. The initial dose should be taken 12 to 24 hours after surgery and should be continued for 12 days in patients undergoing knee replacement and 35 days in patients undergoing hip replacement surgery.

The recommended dose of apixaban to reduce the risk of recurrence of DVT and PE is 2.5 mg twice daily after a minimum 6 months of treatment for DVT or PE.

If a scheduled dose of apixaban is missed, the dose should be taken as soon as possible on the same day and twice daily administration should be resumed. The dose should not be doubled to make up for a missed dose. Apixaban should be discontinued at least 48 hours prior to elective surgery or invasive procedures with a moderate or high risk of unacceptable or clinically significant bleeding. Discontinue apixaban at least 24 hours prior to elective surgery or invasive procedures with a low risk of bleeding or where the bleeding would be non-critical in location and easily controlled.

When switching from warfarin to apixaban, warfarin should be discontinued and apixaban started when the INR is below 2. Apixaban affects INR; therefore, when switching from apixaban to warfarin, INR measurements during co-administration may not be useful for determining the appropriate dose of warfarin; if continuous anticoagulation is necessary, discontinue apixaban and begin both a parenteral anticoagulant and warfarin at the time the next dose of apixaban would have been taken, discontinuing the parenteral anticoagulant when INR reaches an acceptable range. When switching between apixaban and anticoagulants other than warfarin, discontinue one being taken and begin the other at the next scheduled dose.

For patients with nasogastric tubes (NGT), apixaban 2.5 mg and 5 mg tablets may be crushed and suspended in 60 mL of 5% dextrose and immediately administered through the NGT.

dabigatran (Pradaxa)

The recommended dabigatran dose administered to patients with CrCL > 30 mL/min to reduce the risk of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with NVAF is 150 mg orally twice daily. For NVAF patients with renal impairment, defined as CrCL 15 to 30 mL/min, dabigatran dose should be reduced to 75 mg orally twice daily. In patients with moderate renal impairment (CrCL 30 to 50mL/min), concomitant use of the P-gp inhibitors dronedarone or systemic ketoconazole can be expected to produce dabigatran exposure similar to that observed in severe renal impairment. Reduce the dose of dabigatran to 75 mg twice daily in patients receiving these P-gp inhibitors. Dosage recommendations for the treatment of patients with NVAF and a CrCL < 15 mL/min or on dialysis cannot be provided. Avoid co-administration of dabigatran with a P-gp inhibitor in patients with CrCL < 30 mL/min.

For the treatment of DVT and PE, or to reduce the risk of recurrence of DVT and PE, patients with a CrCL > 30 mL/min should receive dabigatran 150 mg twice daily after 5 to 10 days of parenteral anticoagulation. For prophylaxis of DVT and PE following hip replacement surgery, patients with a CrCL > 30 mL/min should receive dabigatran 110 mg once 1 to 4 hours following surgery and after hemostasis has been achieved followed by 220 mg once daily for 28 to 35 days. If not started on the day of surgery, start dabigatran 220 mg once daily on the day after surgery. Avoid use of concomitant P-gp inhibitors (ketoconazole, amiodarone, dronedarone, verapamil, quinidine) in patients with CrCL < 50 mL/min for the treatment of DVT and PE, risk reduction of recurrent of DVT and PE, and prophylaxis of DVT and PE following hip replacement surgery. Dosing recommendations for the treatment of DVT and PE, to reduce the risk of recurrence of DVT and PE, and prophylaxis of DVT and

PE following hip replacement surgery in patients with a CrCL ≤ 30 mL/min or on dialysis cannot be provided.

Renal function assessment should be done with the initiation of dabigatran and periodically thereafter. Dabigatran should be discontinued in patients who develop acute renal failure and consideration given to alternative anticoagulant therapy. Dabigatran capsules should not be broken, chewed, or opened before administration as the oral bioavailability increases by 75% when the pellets are administered without the capsule shell. Patients should be instructed to take dabigatran with a full glass of water. Patients should also be counseled on what to do in the event they miss a dose. If the dose is not taken at the scheduled time, the dabigatran dose should be taken as soon as possible on the same day; the missed dose should be skipped if it cannot be taken at least 6 hours before the next scheduled dose. The dose of dabigatran should not be doubled to make up for a missed dose. Temporarily discontinue dabigatran before invasive or surgical procedures when possible; restart promptly. If emergency surgery is required and cannot be delayed, there is an increased risk of bleeding; the risk of bleeding should be weighed against the urgency of the intervention.

If reversal of dabigatran's anticoagulant effects are needed for emergency surgery, urgent procedures, or in life-threatening or uncontrolled bleeding, idarucizumab (Praxbind) is available as a reversal agent. The recommended dose of idarucizumab is 5 g as an intravenous (IV) infusion.[129] Restart dabigatran as soon as medically appropriate.

Dosing instructions for converting patients from warfarin to/from dabigatran appear in the prescribing information. To switch from warfarin to dabigatran, discontinue warfarin and start dabigatran when the INR is below 2. When switching from dabigatran to warfarin, adjust the starting time of warfarin based on CrCL as follows: for CrCL > 50 mL/min, start warfarin 3 days before discontinuing dabigatran. For CrCL 31 to 50 mL/min, start warfarin 2 days before discontinuing dabigatran. For CrCL 15 to 30 mL/min, start warfarin 1 day before discontinuing dabigatran. For CrCL < 15 mL/min, no recommendations can be made. Because dabigatran can contribute to an elevated INR, the INR will better reflect warfarin's effect after dabigatran has been stopped for at least 2 days.

edoxaban (Savaysa)

In the treatment of patients with NVAF, creatinine clearance should be assessed prior to initiating therapy. The recommended dose is edoxaban 60 mg once daily in patients with CrCL > 50 mL/min to ≤ 95 mL/min. Edoxaban should not be used in patients with a CrCL > 95 mL/min and should be reduced to 30 mg once daily in patients with CrCL 15 to 50 mL/min.

The recommended dose for the treatment of DVT and/or PE is 60 mg once daily. A reduced dose of 30 mg once daily is recommended for patients with CrCL 15 to 50 mL/min or those with a body weight ≤ 60 kg or patients who are taking certain P-gp inhibitors (verapamil, quinidine, or the short-term concomitant administration of azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin, or itraconazole or oral ketoconazole).

When switching from warfarin to edoxaban, discontinue warfarin and start edoxaban when the INR is less than or equal to 2.5. When switching from LMWH or any oral anticoagulant other than warfarin to edoxaban, discontinue the current anticoagulant and start edoxaban at the time of the next scheduled dose of the other anticoagulant. When switching from unfractionated heparin to edoxaban, start edoxaban 4 hours after discontinuation of the heparin infusion. When switching from edoxaban to a parenteral anticoagulant, edoxaban should be discontinued and the parenteral anticoagulant should

be started at the time of the next edoxaban dose. For patients transitioning from edoxaban to warfarin, edoxaban should be discontinued. Both warfarin and a parenteral anticoagulant may be given at the time of the next edoxaban dose with the parenteral anticoagulant continued until the INR is at least 2. Alternately, the edoxaban dose may be reduced by 50% with warfarin concomitant warfarin. The INR should be measured at least weekly just prior to the daily dose of edoxaban to minimize the influence on INR measurements. Once a stable INR (at least 2) is achieved, edoxaban should be discontinued and warfarin continued.

rivaroxaban (Xarelto)

The recommended dosage of rivaroxaban for DVT prophylaxis following hip or knee replacement surgery is 10 mg orally once daily without regard to food, starting 6 to 10 hours post-op, after hemostasis has been established. The duration of treatment for hip and knee replacement is 35 days and 12 days, respectively. Missed doses should be taken as soon as possible, on the same day and continued on the following day, with the usual once daily administration.

The recommended dosage of rivaroxaban for treatment of DVT and/or PE is 15 mg twice daily with food, for 21 days, followed by 20 mg once daily with food, for the remaining treatment. For the reduction of recurrence of DVT and/or PE, rivaroxaban dosage is 20 mg once daily with food. Please see the Pharmacokinetics section regarding rivaroxaban bioavailability with and without concomitant food intake. The 15 mg and 20 mg tablets should be taken with food, while the 10 mg tablet can be taken with or without food.

For NVAF for patients with CrCL > 50 mL/min, administer rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily with the evening meal. For NVAF for patients with CrCL 15 to 50 mL/min, the recommended rivaroxaban dosage is 15 mg once daily with the evening meal. Avoid use in patients with CrCL < 15 mL/min. When switching from warfarin to rivaroxaban, discontinue warfarin and start rivaroxaban as soon as the INR is below 3 to avoid periods of inadequate anticoagulation. There is not a guide for converting patients from rivaroxaban to warfarin. Rivaroxaban affects INR, so INR measurements made during concomitant warfarin therapy may not be useful for determining the appropriate dose of warfarin. One approach may be to discontinue rivaroxaban and begin both a parenteral anticoagulant and warfarin at the time the next dose of rivaroxaban would have been taken. An increased rate of stroke was observed during the transition from rivaroxaban to warfarin in clinical trials in atrial fibrillation patients. When switching from rivaroxaban to an anticoagulant with rapid onset, give the first dose of the other anticoagulant (oral or parenteral) at the time when the next rivaroxaban dose would have been taken. For patients receiving an anticoagulant other than warfarin that are to be switched to rivaroxaban, start rivaroxaban 0 to 2 hours prior to the next scheduled evening administration of the drug. For UFH being administered via a continuous infusion, stop the infusion and start rivaroxaban at the same time.

The absorption of rivaroxaban is dependent on the site of drug release in the GI tract (gastric versus small intestine or colon). Absorption is decreased significantly if given using a feeding tube which deposits drug in the proximal small intestine or further down the GI track. If a feeding tube is used for administration, confirm gastric placement. For patients who are unable to swallow whole tablets, rivaroxaban tablets may be crushed and mixed with applesauce immediately prior to use and administered orally. Crushed rivaroxaban tablets are stable in water and in applesauce for up to 4 hours. After the administration of a crushed rivaroxaban tablet, the dose should be immediately

followed by food or an enteral feeding, if being administered through a nasogastric (NG) or gastric feeding tube. When rivaroxaban is administered through an NG tube or gastric feeding tube, rivaroxaban tablets may be crushed and suspended in 50 mL of water for administration. An *in vitro* study has confirmed there is no adsorption of rivaroxaban suspended in water to PVC or silicone NG tubing. Since rivaroxaban absorption is dependent on the site of drug release, administration through a feeding tube distal to the stomach should be avoided because this can result in reduced absorption and therefore reduced drug exposure.

warfarin (Coumadin)

Warfarin dosing should be individualized by monitoring the PT/INR. Some of the factors influencing warfarin dose variability include clinical (age, race, body weight, sex, concomitant medications, and comorbidities) and genetic (CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes).

Warfarin loading doses are not recommended due to increase in hemorrhagic and other complications. In addition, the loading dose does not offer more rapid protection against clot formation. If the patient's CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes are unknown, a typical initial dose is 2 to 5 mg per day. PT/INR response determines maintenance doses and intervals. If large daily doses of warfarin are required to maintain a patient's PT/INR within a normal therapeutic range, acquired or inherited warfarin resistance (although rare) should be suspected. Lower initiation and maintenance doses should be considered for elderly and debilitated patients.

Duration of therapy should be individualized and followed according to current treatment guidelines. An INR of greater than 4 appears to provide no additional therapeutic benefit in most patients and is associated with a higher risk of bleeding.

PT/INR should be done daily after the initial dose of warfarin and until results stabilize in the therapeutic range. Intervals between subsequent PT/INR should be based upon the physician's judgment of the patient's reliability and response to warfarin in order to maintain therapeutic range. Acceptable intervals for PT/INR determinations are within the range of 1 to 4 weeks once a stable dosage has been determined. Studies suggest that patients in usual care monitoring are in therapeutic range only 33% to 64% of the time. Time in therapeutic range is higher at 56% to 93% in patients managed by anticoagulation clinics, among self-testing/self-monitoring patients, and in patients managed with the help of computer programs.[130]

Specific antidotes for apixaban (Eliquis), edoxaban (Savaysa), and rivaroxaban (Xarelto) are not currently available. Oral or parenteral vitamin K1 reverses the anticoagulant effects of warfarin. Idarucizumab (Praxbind) reverses the effects of dabigatran (Pradaxa).[131]

Availability

Drug	Prefilled Syringes	Vials
Injectable		
dalteparin (Fragmin)	2,500, 5,000, 7,500, 10,000, 12,500, 15,000, or 18,000 units	25,000 units/mL in 3.8 mL MDV
enoxaparin (Lovenox)	30, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150 mg	100 mg/mL in 3 mL MDV
fondaparinux (Arixtra)	2.5, 5, 7.5, 10 mg	-

MDV = multiple-dose vial

Drug	Availability
Oral	
apixaban (Eliquis)	2.5, 5 mg tablets
dabigatran (Pradaxa)	75, 110, 150 mg capsules
edoxaban (Savaysa)	15, 30, 60 mg tablets
rivaroxaban (Xarelto)	10, 15, 20 mg tablets, Starter Pack
warfarin (Coumadin)	1, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.5, 10 mg tablets

Dabigatran capsules should not be chewed or broken open as this increases bioavailability by 75%. Dabigatran must be kept in original bottles to protect from moisture. Open bottles of dabigatran have to be used within 4 months of being opened.

Injectable warfarin products are no longer available.

CLINICAL TRIALS

Search Strategy

Studies were identified through searches performed on PubMed and review of information sent by manufacturers. Search strategy included the use of all drugs in this class for the FDA-approved indications used in the outpatient setting. Randomized, controlled trials comparing agents for either the treatment or prophylaxis of DVT/PE in the outpatient setting or NVAF are considered the most relevant in this category. Comparative trials are the most important, but when comparative trials were unavailable, placebo-controlled trials were considered relevant. In comparisons with UFH, studies utilizing weight-based dosing of UFH with adjustments according to laboratory parameters were considered most useful. Studies included for analysis in the review were published in English, performed with human participants, and randomly allocated participants to comparison groups. In addition, studies must contain clearly stated, predetermined outcome measure(s) of known or probable clinical importance, use data analysis techniques consistent with the study question, and include follow-up (endpoint assessment) of at least 80% of participants entering the investigation. Despite some inherent bias found in all studies including those sponsored and/or funded by pharmaceutical manufacturers, the studies in this therapeutic class review were determined to have results or conclusions that do not suggest systematic error in their experimental study design. While the potential influence of manufacturer sponsorship and/or funding must be considered, the studies in this review have also been evaluated for validity and importance.

VTE

Prophylaxis

dalteparin (Fragmin) versus fondaparinux (Arixtra)

In the Pentasaccharide General Surgery (PEGASUS) study, 2,297 surgical patients were randomized in double-blind fashion to receive either fondaparinux 2.5 mg or dalteparin 5,000 units SC daily.[132] Fondaparinux was initiated 6 hours after high risk abdominal surgery. Dalteparin was initiated as 2,500 units given 2 hours preoperatively and repeated 12 hours later. There was no difference between the 2 treatment arms in occurrence of venous thromboembolism up to post-operative day 10 (4.6% versus 6.1% for fondaparinux and dalteparin, respectively), a relative risk reduction of 24.6% (95% CI, -9 to 47.9; p=0.144); this met the pre-determined criterion for non-inferiority of fondaparinux. No difference was detected in the primary safety outcome, major bleeding, during the initial treatment period. The rate of major bleeding was 3.4% in the fondaparinux group and 2.4% in the dalteparin group.

dalteparin (Fragmin) versus warfarin

In the double-blind, North American Fragmin trial, 1,472 patients were randomized to dalteparin given once daily immediately or early after surgery or post-operative warfarin for DVT prophylaxis in patients undergoing hip arthroplasty.[133] Venograms were performed 5 days after surgery. The dalteparin group had 10.7% positive for any DVT whereas the warfarin group had 24% positive for any DVT (p<0.001). Proximal DVTs were identified in 0.8% of dalteparin patients and 3% of the warfarin patients (p=0.03 and p=0.04). Serious bleeding was similar in both groups. Pre-operative dalteparin patients experienced more major surgical site bleeding than did the warfarin patients (p=0.01). When evaluating extended out-of-hospital use for up to 35 days with dalteparin or placebo, new proximal DVT rates were 0.7% to 1.3% of dalteparin patients and 4.8% for the inpatient warfarin group.[134] Overall, the cumulative incidence of all DVT was 17.2% to 22.2% with dalteparin and 36.7% with in-hospital warfarin/out-of-hospital placebo group. Cumulative proximal DVT rates were 2% to 3.1% for dalteparin and 9.2% for the warfarin/placebo groups. No major bleeding occurred during the extended prophylaxis time period.

enoxaparin (Lovenox) versus fondaparinux (Arixtra)

A multicenter, randomized, double-blind trial compared enoxaparin and fondaparinux in patients undergoing elective knee surgery.[135] Patients (n=1,049) were randomized to receive enoxaparin 30 mg SC twice daily or fondaparinux 2.5 mg SC once daily. Both drugs were started postoperatively. The primary efficacy endpoint, incidence rate of VTE, was determined by day 11. Diagnosis of VTE was completed by bilateral leg venography assessing for DVT and, for PE, diagnosis was made by lung scan indicating a high probability of pulmonary embolism, by pulmonary angiography, by helical computed tomography, or at autopsy. The primary safety outcome was major bleeding. Incidence of VTE by day 11 was significantly lower in the fondaparinux group (12.5%) than the enoxaparin group (27.8%; p<0.001). The rate of symptomatic venous thrombosis was similar between the groups. More major bleeding was observed in the fondaparinux group (p=0.006).

In a multicenter, randomized, double-blind trial, enoxaparin 40 mg and fondaparinux 2.5 mg, each given SC once daily, were compared in 1,711 patients undergoing hip fracture surgery.[136] Enoxaparin therapy was initiated pre-operatively whereas fondaparinux was initiated post-operatively; treatment continued for at least 5 days in both groups. The primary efficacy endpoint was the rate of VTE up to day 11; the primary safety outcomes were major bleeding and all-cause mortality through 6 weeks. In the study, the incidence of VTE was significantly lower in the fondaparinux group (8.3%) than the enoxaparin group (19.1%; p<0.001). Symptomatic venous thrombosis was similar between the groups. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in the incidence of death or rate of clinically relevant bleeding.

In the double-blind European Pentasaccharide Hip Elective Surgery Study (EPHESUS), 2,309 consecutive adult patients undergoing elective hip replacement surgery were randomly assigned in a double-blind manner to fondaparinux 2.5 mg SC daily, starting postoperatively, or enoxaparin 40 mg SC daily, starting preoperatively.[137] The primary efficacy outcome was VTE up to day 11; primary safety outcomes were bleeding and death through 6 weeks. Primary efficacy analysis was performed in 908 fondaparinux patients and 919 enoxaparin patients. By day 11, 4% of fondaparinux patients experienced VTE whereas 9% of enoxaparin patients had positive findings for VTE (55.9% relative risk reduction; p<0.0001). The 2 groups did not differ significantly in incidence of death or rate of clinically relevant bleeding.

In the similarly designed PENTATHLON 2000 study, 2,275 consecutive adult patients who were undergoing elective hip replacement surgery were randomized in a double-blind manner to receive either fondaparinux 2.5 mg SC once daily or enoxaparin 30 mg SC twice daily.[138] The primary efficacy of the presence of VTE was assessed to day 11 in 1,584 patients. Venous thromboembolism was reported in 6% of patients on fondaparinux and 8% of patients receiving enoxaparin (p=NS). The 2 groups did not differ in the number of patients who died or in the number who had clinically relevant bleeding.

rivaroxaban (Xarelto) versus enoxaparin (Lovenox)

Regulation of Coagulation in Orthopedic Surgery to Prevent Deep Venous Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism (RECORD) 1 and 2 for elective total hip replacement and RECORD 3 for elective total knee replacement were all randomized, double-blind, multinational trials that compared oral rivaroxaban 10 mg once daily started 6 to 8 hours after wound closure to subcutaneous (SC) enoxaparin 40 mg once daily started 12 hours pre-op.[139] Enoxaparin 40 mg once daily is not the FDA-approved dose in knee replacement. In all these trials, rivaroxaban was superior in preventing total venous thromboembolism (VTE) (a composite endpoint of DVT, nonfatal PE, and death from any cause) and major VTE (a composite endpoint of proximal DVT, nonfatal PE, and venous thromboembolic death).

In RECORD 1 and 2, a total of 6,727 patients were randomized and 6,579 received study drug. In RECORD 1, the mean exposure duration (± standard deviation [SD]) to rivaroxaban and enoxaparin was 33.3 + 7 days and 33.6 + 8.3 days, respectively. In RECORD 2, the mean exposure duration to rivaroxaban and enoxaparin was 33.5 + 6.9 days and 12.4 + 2.9 days, respectively. After day 13, oral placebo was continued in the enoxaparin group for the remainder of the double-blind study duration.

In RECORD 1, the occurrence of the primary efficacy outcome of total VTE at 36 days was 1.1% for rivaroxaban and 3.7% for enoxaparin (p<0.001; absolute risk reduction, 2.6%; 95% CI, 1.5 to 3.7; number needed to treat [NNT]=38).[140] The main secondary outcome of major VTE occurred in 0.2% of patients in the rivaroxaban group and in 2o% of patients in the enoxaparin group (p<0.001; absolute risk reduction, 1.7%; 95% CI, 1 to 2.5). The primary safety outcome of major bleeding occurred in 0.3% and 0.1% of patients in the rivaroxaban and enoxaparin groups respectively (p=0.18).

In RECORD 2, the occurrence of the primary efficacy outcome of total VTE in the rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin groups was 2% versus 8.4% (p<0.001; absolute risk reduction, 7.3%; 95% CI, 5.2 to 9.3; NNT=14).[141]

In RECORD 3 (n=2,531), the mean exposure duration (± SD) to rivaroxaban and enoxaparin was 11.9 + 2.3 days and 12.5 + 3 days, respectively.[142] The primary outcome of total VTE 13 to 17 days after surgery occurred in 9.6% and 18.9% of patients treated with rivaroxaban and enoxaparin, respectively (p<0.001; absolute risk reduction, 9.2%; 95% CI, 5.9 to 12.4; NNT=11). The secondary outcome of major VTE occurred in 1% of patients in the rivaroxaban group and 2.6% of patients in the enoxaparin group (p=0.01; absolute risk reduction, 1.6%; 96% CI, 0.4 to 2.8). The primary safety outcome of major bleeding occurred in 0.6% and 0.5% of rivaroxaban- and enoxaparin-treated patients, respectively.

RECORD 4 (n=3,148) was a randomized, double-blind study comparing oral rivaroxaban 10 mg once daily to SC enoxaparin 30 mg every 12 hours (FDA-approved dose for knee replacement) in patients undergoing total knee replacement surgery.[143] The primary outcome (composite of DVT, PE, or death from any cause up to day 17 after surgery) occurred in 6.9% compared to 10.1% patients on rivaroxaban and enoxaparin, respectively (p=0.0118; absolute risk reduction, 3.19%; 95% CI, 0.71 to 5.67; NNT=31). Major bleeding occurred in 0.7% of rivaroxaban patients compared with 0.3% of enoxaparin patients (p=0.1096).

apixaban (Eliquis) versus enoxaparin (Lovenox)

Apixaban was compared to enoxaparin in 3 randomized, double-blind, double dummy phase 3 trials. These trials were Apixaban Dosed orally Vs. ANtiCoagulation with Enoxaparin (ADVANCE)-1, ADVANCE-2 and ADVANCE-3.[144,145,146] All 3 trials were funded by Bristol Myers Squibb and Pfizer. In ADVANCE-1 and ADVANCE-2, the studies were conducted in patients undergoing knee replacement surgery and ADVANCE-3 studied patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. ADVANCE-2 and ADVANCE-3 compared apixaban to enoxaparin 40 mg subcutaneously (SC) once daily, a dosing schedule used in Europe but that is not a FDA-approved dosing regimen. ADVANCE-1 compared apixaban with the FDA-approved dosing regimen of enoxaparin 30 mg SC twice daily for DVT prophylaxis in patients undergoing knee or hip replacement surgery.

ADVANCE-1 was a double-blind, double-dummy, non-inferiority trial (n=3,195) that compared apixaban 2.5 mg orally twice daily with enoxaparin 30 mg SC every 12 hours in patients undergoing total knee replacement. Both medications were started 12 to 24 hours after surgery and continued for 10 to 14 days. The primary efficacy outcome was a composite of asymptomatic and symptomatic DVT, nonfatal PE, and death from any cause for up to 60 days after the end of anticoagulation therapy. The primary safety outcome was bleeding during the treatment period or within 2 days after the last dose of anticoagulant therapy. The primary efficacy outcome occurred in 104 (9%) patients randomized to apixaban and in 100 (8.8%) of patients randomized to enoxaparin. The statistical criterion for noninferiority was not met (relative risk, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.32; p=0.06 for non inferiority). Major

bleeding occurred in 11 (0.7%) patients who received apixaban and in 22 (1.4%) patients who received enoxaparin (adjusted difference in event rates according to type of surgery, -0.81%; 95% CI, -1.49 to 0.14; p=0.053). The authors concluded the primary efficacy outcome was much lower than expected in the enoxaparin arm and, therefore, it was difficult to demonstrate apixaban noninferiority.

ADVANCE-2 was a multicenter, double-blind, phase 3 trial comparing apixaban 2.5 mg orally twice daily with enoxaparin 40 mg SC once daily in 3,057 patients undergoing elective total knee replacement. Apixaban was started 12 to 24 hours after surgery and enoxaparin was started 12 hours before surgery; both drugs were continued for 10 to 14 days. Primary efficacy outcome was identical to ADVANCE-1. The primary outcome was reported in 147 (15%) apixaban-treated patients and 243 (24%) enoxaparin-treated patients (relative risk 0.62; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.74; p<0.0001 when tested for noninferiority and superiority). Major or clinically relevant non-major bleeding occurred in 53 (4%) apixaban-treated patients and 72 (5%) enoxaparin-treated patients (p=0.09)

ADVANCE-3 was a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy phase 3 study comparing apixaban 2.5 mg orally twice daily with enoxaparin 40 mg SC once daily in 5,407 patients undergoing total hip replacement. Initiation schedule for both medications was identical to ADVANCE-2 but the duration of therapy was continued for 35 days after hip replacement surgery. The primary efficacy outcome was identical to ADVANCE-1 and 2. The primary efficacy outcome occurred in 27 (1.4%) patients in the apixaban group and in 74 patients (3.9%) in the enoxaparin group (relative risk with apixaban, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.54; p<0.001 for both noninferiority and superiority; absolute risk reduction, 2.5%; 95% CI, 1.5 to 3.5). The composite outcome of major and clinically relevant non-major bleeding occurred in 129 (4.8%) patients receiving apixaban and 134 (5%) patients receiving enoxaparin (absolute difference in risk, -0.2%; 95% CI, -1.4 to 1).

dabigatran (Pradaxa) versus enoxaparin (Lovenox)

RE-NOVATE, a multinational, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, non-inferiority trial, compared the efficacy of dabigatran 150 mg (n=1,174) or 220 mg (n=1,157) once daily, both starting with a half-dose 1 to 4 hours following surgery, to subcutaneous enoxaparin 40 mg once daily (n=1,162) starting the evening before surgery in adults for VTE prophylaxis following total hip replacement surgery.[147] The median treatment duration was 33 days. The primary outcome was the composite of total VTE (venographic or symptomatic) and all-cause death during treatment (non-inferiority margin set at 7.7%). The primary outcome occurred in 6.7% (60/897) of patients in the enoxaparin group compared to 8.6% (75/874) of patients in the 150 mg dabigatran group (treatment difference, 1.9%; 95% CI, -0.6 to 4.4) and 6% (53/880) of patients in the 220 mg dabigatran group (treatment difference, -0.7%; 95% CI, -2.9 to 1.6). Both doses of dabigatran were found to be non-inferior to enoxaparin (150 mg dose not FDA-approved for this use), and bleeding rates with both doses of dabigatran were similar to those of enoxaparin (p=0.6 for 150 mg, p=0.44 for 220 mg).

RE-NOVATE II, also a multinational, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, non-inferiority trial, compared the efficacy of dabigatran 220 mg once daily, starting with a half-dose 1 to 4 hours following surgery, to subcutaneous enoxaparin 40 mg once daily starting the evening before surgery in 2,055 adults for VTE prophylaxis following total hip arthroplasty.[148] Treatment duration ranged from 28 to 35 days. The primary outcome was the composite of total VTE (venographic or symptomatic) and all-cause death during treatment (non-inferiority margin set at 7.7%). The primary outcome occurred in 8.8% or patients in the enoxaparin group compared to 7.7% of patients in the dabigatran group (treatment difference, -1.1%; 95% CI, -3.8 to 1.6; p<0.0001). The key secondary composite outcome was major VTE (proximal DVT or non-fatal PE) and VTE-related death. This secondary outcome occurred in 4.2% or patients in the enoxaparin group compared to 2.2% of patients in the dabigatran group (treatment difference, -1.9%; 95% CI, -3.6 to -0.2; p=0.03). Bleeding rates were similar between groups (p=0.4).

Treatment (Outpatient)

enoxaparin (Lovenox) versus fondaparinux (Arixtra)

MATISSE DVT trial was a multicenter, double-blind study including 2,205 patients with acute symptomatic DVT. The patients were randomized to receive enoxaparin 1 mg/kg SC twice daily or fondaparinux 7.5 mg SC once daily for at least 5 days and until the INR was above 2.[149] Vitamin K antagonist therapy was initiated within 72 hours of either randomized therapy. Doses for fondaparinux were adjusted for patients weighing less than 50 kg (fondaparinux 5 mg SC daily) and more than 100 kg (fondaparinux 10 mg SC daily). The rates of recurrent thromboembolic events (primary outcome) were similar in the enoxaparin and fondaparinux groups (4.1% and 3.9%, respectively; p=NS). Major bleeding occurred in 1.2% of patients receiving enoxaparin and 1.1% of patients receiving fondaparinux (p=NS).

rivaroxaban (Xarelto) versus enoxaparin (Lovenox)/VKA

Rivaroxaban therapy was compared to enoxaparin/VKA therapy for the treatment of DVT and/or PE and for the reduction in the risk of recurrence of DVT and/or PE in the EINSTEIN DVT (n=3,449) and EINSTEIN PE (n=4,832), open-label, non-inferiority studies.[150,151,152] Rivaroxaban was administered orally at an initial dose of 15 mg twice daily for 3 weeks, followed by 20 mg once daily; enoxaparin 1 mg/kg twice daily was administered subcutaneously for at least 5 days with VKA and VKA was continued once target INR (2 to 3) was achieved. Rivaroxaban was demonstrated to be non-inferior to enoxaparin/VKA for the primary composite endpoint of time to first occurrence of recurrent DVT or non-fatal or fatal PE (EINSTEIN DVT HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.44 to 1.04); EINSTEIN PE HR, 1.12; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.68). Primary endpoint occurred in 2.1% of patients in the rivaroxaban groups for both EINSTEIN DVT and EINSTEIN PE, and occurred in 3% and 1.8% of patients in the rivaroxaban and enoxaparin/VKA groups, respectively. In a pooled analysis of EINSTEIN DVT and PE trials, nonfatal major bleeding was seen in 1% versus 1.7% of rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin/VKA group, with the same rate of intracranial hemorrhage, and comparable clinically relevant non major bleeding. A superiority extension study evaluated the risk of recurrence of DVT or PE in a double-blind fashion, comparing rivaroxaban (20 mg daily) to placebo in patients (n=1,196) who had completed 6 to 14 months of treatment for DVT and/or PE. The primary composite endpoint of time to first occurrence of recurrent DVT or non-fatal or fatal PE was reported in 1.3% and 7.1% of patients on rivaroxaban and placebo, respectively (HR, 0.18; 95% CI, 0.09 to 0.39; p<0.0001). Nonfatal major bleeding was reported in 0.7% of rivaroxaban versus 0% of placebo patients, respectively (p=0.11).

dabigatran (Pradaxa) versus warfarin

Dabigatran 150 mg orally twice daily was compared to adjusted-dose warfarin in patients with acute VTE who had been treated with parenteral anticoagulation for 5 to 11 days. RE-COVER (n=1,274) and RE-COVER II (n=2,589) were 2 multicenter, randomized double-blind trials conducted with identical study designs. A pooled analysis of both studies was reported.[153,154] Funding was provided by Boehringer Ingelheim for both trials. The studies were designed as non-inferiority trials and examined the 6-month incidence of recurrent, symptomatic, objectively-confirmed VTE and related deaths as their primary outcome measure. The pooled analysis of patients from both trials yielded a hazard ratio for recurrent VTE of 1.09 (95% CI, 0.76 to 1.57) for dabigatran compared with warfarin. Both trials independently, as well as the pooled analysis, indicated a trend toward less clinically relevant bleeding episodes with dabigatran compared to placebo, but the safety endpoint of major bleeding was not statistically significantly different (hazard ratio, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.48 to 1.11 for pooled analysis group).

dabigatran (Pradaxa) versus warfarin or placebo- extended treatment

Two randomized, double blind trials examined the use of dabigatran for extended treatment in patients who had already received a minimum of 3 months of anticoagulant therapy.[155] The RE-SONATE trial (n=1,343) compared dabigatran with placebo in patients who had received initial treatment for 6 to 18 months prior to being enrolled. The RE-MEDY trial (n=2,856) compared dabigatran to adjusted dose warfarin in patients who had previously received 3 to 12 months of anticoagulant therapy. The patients in the RE-MEDY trial were considered to be at an increased risk for recurrent VTE on the basis of the site investigator's assessment. Many of the patients in these trials were recruited from the population of patients who had been enrolled in the RE-COVER and RE-COVER II trials. Patients were followed for up to 36 months in the active control group and up to 12 months in the placebo group. In both studies, the primary outcome measure was recurrent symptomatic and objectively-verified VTE or death associated with VTE (or unexplained death in the placebo-controlled study). Safety outcomes included major bleeding and clinically relevant non major bleeding. In the placebo-controlled group (RE-SONATE trial), recurrent VTE occurred in 3 patients (0.4%) in the dabigatran group and 37 patients (5.6%) in the placebo group ($p<0.001$). No patients in the placebo group experienced major bleeding versus 2 patients in the dabigatran group. In the RE-MEDY trial, recurrent VTE occurred in 26 (1.8%) of the dabigatran patients and 18 (1.3%) of the warfarin patients (hazard ratio with dabigatran, 1.44; 95% CI, 0.78 to 2.64; $p=0.01$ for non inferiority). Major bleeding occurred in 13 (0.9%) patients in the dabigatran group and in 25 (1.8%) of the patients receiving warfarin (hazard ratio 0.52; 95% CI, 0.27 to 1.02). Major or clinically relevant non major bleeding occurred less frequently with dabigatran (HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.71, $p<0.001$). Acute coronary syndromes occurred in 0.9% of patients in the dabigatran group and 0.2% in the warfarin group ($p=0.02$). These studies were funded by the manufacturer of dabigatran.

apixaban (Eliquis) versus enoxaparin (Lovenox)/warfarin

Apixaban, at a dose of 10 mg twice daily for 7 days followed by 5 mg twice daily for 6 months, was compared to subcutaneous enoxaparin followed by adjusted dose warfarin in patients with acute venous thromboembolism.[156] The AMPLIFY trial (n=5,395) was a randomized, double-blind study sponsored by BMS and Pfizer. The AMPLIFY trial was designed as a non-inferiority trial to compare the safety and efficacy of apixaban to the safety and efficacy of conventional therapy. The primary efficacy outcome was the incidence of the composite of recurrent symptomatic VTE or death related to VTE.

The primary efficacy outcome occurred in 59 of 2,609 patients (2.3%) in the apixaban group and in 71 of 2,635 patients (2.7%) in the conventional-therapy group, for a relative risk with apixaban of 0.84 (95% CI, 0.6 to 1.18; p<0.001 for noninferiority). The primary safety outcome was major bleeding. Major bleeding occurred in 15 of 2,676 patients (0.6%) in the apixaban group and in 49 of 2,689 patients (1.8%) in the conventional-therapy group, for a relative risk of 0.31 (95% CI, 0.17 to 0.55; p<0.001 for superiority).

edoxaban (Savaysa) versus warfarin

Hokusai-VTE was a randomized, double-blind, noninferiority study in 8,240 patients diagnosed with either DVT or PE who had initially received heparin (either low molecular weight heparin [LMWH] or unfractionated heparin [UFH]).[157] Patients were randomized to edoxaban 60 mg daily or warfarin (target INR of 2 to 3) and followed for 12 months. Patients randomized to edoxaban who had a CrCL < 50 mL/min or a body weight < 60 kg or who were receiving verapamil, quinidine, or short-term concomitant therapy with azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin, oral itraconazole, or oral ketoconazole had their dose reduced to edoxaban 30 mg daily. The primary efficacy outcome was recurrent symptomatic venous thromboembolism (VTE) and the primary safety outcome was major or clinically relevant non-major bleeding. In the edoxaban group, 130 patients (3.2%) experienced a recurrent symptomatic VTE compared to 146 patients in the warfarin group (3.5%) (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.7 to 1.13; p<0.001 for noninferiority). There were 349 (8.5%) patients in the edoxaban group who experienced major or clinically relevant non-major bleeding compared to 423 (10.3%) patients in the warfarin group (HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.94; p=0.004 for superiority).

Nonvalvular AF

Warfarin (Coumadin) was approved in the U.S. in 1954.[158] It has established itself as a highly effective strategy for the treatment of VTE and is often used with UFH, LMWH, or fondaparinux.[159] Adjusted-dose warfarin has also demonstrated efficacy for the long-term prevention of VTE recurrence in most patients.[160,161] The 2014 American Academy of Neurology (AAN) guidelines for the prevention of stroke in NVAF state warfarin dosing resulting in an INR of 2 to 3 likely reduces the frequency and severity of ischemic stroke as compared to anticoagulation resulting in lower INR levels.[162] Adjusted-dose warfarin has consistently established itself in randomized trials for prevention of stroke in younger (averaging about 70 years old) patients with NVAF.[163,164] Adjusted-dose warfarin has shown superiority to aspirin by demonstrating 54% relative risk reduction of stroke in older NVAF patients (≥ 75 years) with similar bleeding rates.[165]

dabigatran (Pradaxa) and warfarin

Randomized Evaluation of Long-Term Anticoagulation Therapy (RELY) trial:[166] Dabigatran and warfarin were compared in a randomized, blinded, non-inferiority trial with 18,113 patients with atrial fibrillation and a risk for stroke over 2 years. Risk factors considered in the trial included previous stroke or transient ischemic attack, a left ventricular ejection fraction of less than 40%, New York Heart Association class II or higher heart-failure symptoms within 6 months before screening, and an age of at least 75 years or an age of 65 to 74 years plus diabetes mellitus, hypertension, or coronary artery disease. Patients were randomized to dabigatran 110 mg or 150 mg twice daily (blinded) or adjusted-dose warfarin (INR target 2 to 3; unblinded). In the warfarin group, the mean percentage of the study period during which the INR was within the therapeutic range (INR 2 to 3) was 64%. The rate of stroke

or systemic embolism, the primary outcome measure, was 1.69% in the warfarin group and 1.53% for dabigatran 110 mg group (relative risk: 0.91; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.11; p<0.001 for non-inferiority) and 1.11% for dabigatran 150 mg group (relative risk, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.53 to 0.82; p<0.001 for superiority). Both doses of dabigatran were non-inferior to warfarin (p<0.001). Rates of major bleeding were 3.36%, 2.71%, and 3.11% for the warfarin, dabigatran 110 mg group (p=0.003), and dabigatran 150 mg group (p=0.31 versus warfarin), respectively. The rates of hemorrhagic stroke were 0.38% per year in the warfarin group, 0.12% per year with dabigatran 110 mg (p<0.001), and 0.1% per year with dabigatran 150 mg (p<0.001). Mortality rates were 4.13% per year in the warfarin group, 3.75% per year with dabigatran 110 mg (p=0.13), and 3.64% per year with dabigatran 150 mg (p=0.051). Both doses of dabigatran had a small but significantly higher rate of myocardial infarction (MI) versus warfarin, 0.72% per year for dabigatran 110 mg, 0.74% per year for dabigatran 150 mg, and 0.53% per year for warfarin. However, after study re-evaluation for adverse event under reporting, the MI rate was not significant.[167] The rate of reporting clinical myocardial infarction was 0.7 per 100 patient-years for dabigatran versus 0.6 per 100 patient-years for warfarin. Dyspepsia was more common in the dabigatran 110 mg (11.8%) and 150 mg (11.3%) groups compared to the warfarin group (5.8%; both p<0.001). Follow up analysis of the data showed a lower rate of all-cause death with dabigatran 150 mg versus warfarin (3.6% versus 4.1%/year).[168] The rate of vascular death was lower on dabigatran versus warfarin (2.3%/year versus 2.7%/year). Non-vascular death rates were similar.

Previous warfarin exposure does not appear to influence the benefits of dabigatran.[169] An analysis of the RELY study found that, regardless of the individual center's quality of INR control, dabigatran maintained its benefits over warfarin.[170] However, these advantages were greater at centers with poor INR control. According to a pre-defined analysis, most effects of both doses of dabigatran versus warfarin were consistent in the subgroup of patients with previous stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA).[171,172] In an analysis that compared bleeding risks in the RELY trial, at both doses dabigatran compared to warfarin had lower risks of intracranial and extracranial hemorrhage in patients less than 75 years old (p<0.001 for all).[173] In patients 75 years of age and older, intracranial bleeding risk was lower for dabigatran versus warfarin but extracranial bleeding risk was similar or higher.

edoxaban (Savaysa) and warfarin

ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48:[174] This was a randomized, double-blind, double dummy, international trial, with a median of 2.8 years, comparing 2 doses of edoxaban (30 mg or 60 mg) or warfarin (dose adjusted to achieve an INR of 2 to 3) in patients (n=21,105) with atrial fibrillation who were at moderate to high risk for stroke. Enrolled patients had either experienced a prior stroke (ischemic or unknown type), transient ischemic attack (TIA) or a non-CNS embolism, or they had 2 or more of the following risk factors: age $\geq$ 75 years, hypertension, heart failure, or diabetes. The primary efficacy endpoint was the time to first occurrence of stroke or other systemic embolic event. The secondary endpoint was ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, systemic embolism, and a composite of deaths due to CV causes. The principal safety endpoint was major bleeding during treatment. During the treatment period, a stroke or systemic embolic event occurred in 232 patients in the warfarin group (representing a rate of 1.5% per year) as compared with 182 patients in the edoxaban 60 mg/day group (a rate of 1.18% per year; HR versus warfarin, 0.79; 97.5% CI, 0.63 to 0.99; p<0.001 for noninferiority, p=0.02 for superiority) and 253 patients in the edoxaban 30 mg/day group (a rate of 1.61% per year; HR versus warfarin, 1.07; 97.5% CI, 0.87 to 1.31; p=0.005 for noninferiority, p=0.44 for superiority). The annualized rate of hemorrhagic stroke was 0.47% with warfarin, as compared with 0.26% with

edoxaban 60 mg daily (HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.34, p=0.1) and 0.16% with edoxaban 30 mg daily (HR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.5; p<0.001). The rate of ischemic stroke was 1.25% with warfarin as compared with 1.25% with edoxaban 60 mg daily (HR, 1; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.19; p=0.97) and 1.77% with edoxaban 30 mg daily (HR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.19 to 1.67; p<0.001). Treatment with edoxaban was associated with lower annualized rates of death from CV causes than was warfarin: 3.17% with warfarin, as compared with 2.74% with edoxaban 60 mg daily (HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.97; p=0.01) and 2.71% with edoxaban 30 mg daily (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.96; p=0.008). In the safety analysis, the annualized rate of major bleeding events was 3.43% with warfarin, as compared with 2.75% with edoxaban 60 mg daily (HR, 0.8; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.91; p<0.001) and 1.61% with edoxaban 30 mg daily (HR 0.47; 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.55, p<0.001). The annualized rate of major GI bleeding was higher with edoxaban 60 mg daily than with warfarin (1.51% versus 1.23%). A sub-group analysis revealed that in patients with CrCL > 95 mL/min, the rate of ischemic stroke was higher in the edoxaban 60 mg group compared to warfarin (HR, 2.16; 95% CI, 1.17 to 3.97).

rivaroxaban (Xarelto) and warfarin

Rivaroxaban Once-daily oral direct Factor Xa inhibition Compared with vitamin K antagonism for the prevention of stroke and Embolism Trial in Atrial Fibrillation (ROCKET AF):[175] This was a randomized, double-blind, multinational, phase 3 trial in 14,264 patients with NVAF at increased risk for stroke. Patient risk factors included either 2 or more of the following: CHF, hypertension, age ≥75 years, diabetes; or a history of 1 of the following: stroke, TIA, or systemic embolus. The study compared once daily rivaroxaban to warfarin for the primary endpoint of non-inferiority for prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in NVAF. The median duration of the study was 590 days. Rivaroxaban was given at a dose of 20 mg once daily with the evening meal in patients with CrCL ≥ 50 mL/min and 15 mg once daily with the evening meal in patients with CrCL 30 to <50 mL/min. Warfarin was titrated to INR 2 to 3. Mean time in therapeutic range with warfarin was 55%.[176] Although in the as-treated safety population, the p-value was significant (p<0.02) for rivaroxaban versus warfarin, in the intention-to-treat analysis, the composite primary endpoint was demonstrated for non-inferiority, but not for superiority to warfarin; composite primary endpoint of 3.8% compared to 4.3% for rivaroxaban compared to warfarin (p<0.001 for non-inferiority; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.03; p=0.12 for superiority). Major and non-major bleeding was 14.9%/year versus 14.5%/year for rivaroxaban versus warfarin (HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.11; p=0.44). There was no difference in overall major and other clinically relevant bleeding between groups. Major bleeding was seen in 5.6% versus 5.4% of rivaroxaban versus warfarin, respectively. More events were observed with transfusion hemorrhage (2.6% versus 2.1%; p<0.045) and GI bleed (3.1% versus 2%; p<0.02). Fewer events were observed with hemorrhage into a critical organ, mostly intracranial (1.3% versus 1.9%; p=0.007) and fatal bleeds (0.4% versus 0.8%; p=0.003), for rivaroxaban versus warfarin respectively. Intracranial bleeds alone were observed in 0.8% versus 1.2% of rivaroxaban and warfarin patients, respectively (p<0.02).

A pre-specified secondary analysis of the ROCKET-AF trial compared the safety and efficacy outcomes of rivaroxaban with warfarin in patients aged less than 75 years to those 75 years and older.[177] Forty-four percent of patients in this analysis were at least 75 years of age. In both treatment arms, older patients experienced more stroke and systemic embolism (2.57 versus 2.05%/100 patient-years; p-0.0068) and major bleeding (4.63 versus 2.74%/100 patient-years; p<0.0001) as compared to younger patients. However, rates of stroke/systemic embolism (SE) and major bleeding were consistent among older and younger patients; for ≥75 years stroke/SE rate of 2.29% on rivaroxaban and 2.85% on

warfarin per 100 patient-years (HR, 0.8; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.19); for < 75 years stroke/SE rate of two% on rivaroxaban versus 2.1% on warfarin per 100 patient-years (HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.19; for ≥ 75 years major bleeding rates of 4.86% for rivaroxaban and 4.4% for warfarin per 100 patient-years (HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.34); for < 75 years major bleeding rates of 2.69% on rivaroxaban and 2.79% on warfarin per 100 patient-years. Hemorrhagic stoke rates were similar in both age groups.

apixaban (Eliquis) and warfarin

The ARISTOTLE trial was a randomized, double-blind study that compared apixaban 5 mg twice daily with dose-adjusted warfarin (target INR 2 to 3) in 18,201 patients with AF and at least 1 additional risk factor for stroke (age ≥ 75 years; previous stroke, TIA, or systemic embolism; symptomatic heart failure within the previous 3 months or LVEF ≤ 40%; diabetes mellitus; or hypertension requiring pharmacologic treatment).[178,179] The dose of apixaban was reduced (2.5 mg twice daily) in patients with at least 2 of the following characteristics: age ≥ 80 years, body weight ≤ 60 kg, or serum creatinine ≥ 1.5 mg/dL. The median duration of follow-up was 1.8 years. Mean age was 69 years. The primary outcome of ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke or systemic embolism occurred in 1.27% per year in the apixaban group, and 1.6% per year in the warfarin group (HR for apixaban 0.79; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.95; p<0.001), or a 21% relative risk reduction (RRR) for apixaban compared to warfarin. The rate of hemorrhagic stroke was 0.24% per year in the apixaban group, as compared with 0.47% per year in the warfarin group (HR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.75; p<0.001), and the rate of ischemic or uncertain type of stroke was 0.97% per year in the apixaban group and 1.05% per year in the warfarin group (HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.13; p=0.42). Apixaban was found to be superior to warfarin in preventing stroke or systemic embolism, primarily attributable to a reduction in hemorrhagic stroke and ischemic strokes with hemorrhagic conversion compared to warfarin. Purely ischemic strokes occurred with similar rates on both drugs. The primary safety outcome of major bleeding occurred in 2.13% per year in the apixaban arm, and 3.09% per year in the warfarin arm, or a 31% RRR for apixaban compared to warfarin. Apixaban resulted in an 11% RRR in the secondary endpoint of all-cause mortality compared to warfarin primarily due to a reduction in CV death and particularly stroke deaths (3.52% to 3.94%; HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.8 to 0.99; p=0.047). The ARISTOTLE trial was funded by the manufacturers of apixaban.

The AVERROES study randomized 5,599 patients with AF who were at increased risk for stroke and who were not candidates for warfarin therapy, to receive apixaban 5 mg twice daily (or 2.5 mg twice daily in selected patients) or aspirin 81 mg to 324 mg daily. Mean duration of follow-up was 1.1 years. The primary outcome was occurrence of stroke or systemic embolism.[180,181] A total of 51 primary outcome events (1.6% per year) occurred in the apixaban group and 113 events (3.7% per year) in the aspirin group. Forty-four cases (1.4% per year) of major bleeding were reported with apixaban use, and 39 (1.2% per year) with aspirin use (HR with apixaban, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.75; p=0.57); there were 11 cases of intracranial bleeding with apixaban and 13 with aspirin (p=0.69). The risk of a first hospitalization for CV causes was reduced with apixaban compared with aspirin (12.6% per year versus 15.9% per year, p<0.001). AVERROES was stopped early based on a prespecified interim analysis that reported a significant reduction in stroke and systemic embolism for apixaban compared to aspirin that was associated with a modest but not statistically significant increase in major bleeding. The AVERROES trial was funded by the manufacturers of apixaban.

Efficacy of Injectable Anticoagulants[182,183,184,185,186,187,188,189,190,191,192,193,194,195,196,197,198,199,200,201,202,203,204,205,206,207,208,209,210,211,212,213,214]

Drug	Prophylaxis: Development of post-operative DVT (%)				Treatment: Recurrent VTE (%)
	Hip Replacement	Knee Replacement	Hip Fracture Surgery	Abdominal Surgery	
enoxaparin (Lovenox)	6-38	19-37	*19.1	9.7	3.3-4.1
fondaparinux (Arixtra)	1.7-5.6	12.5	8.3	4.2	3.9

*off-label

Review of overall occurrence of DVT in patients undergoing orthopedic surgery does not reveal any significant advantage of one LMWH over another for prophylaxis. While fondaparinux (Arixtra) has been shown to reduce the development of post-operative DVT to a greater extent than enoxaparin, this risk reduction can be accompanied by an increase in risk of bleeding. Administration of fondaparinux before 6 hours after surgery has been associated with an increased risk of major bleeding.[215] After hemostasis has been established, the recommended timing of the first fondaparinux injection is 6 to 8 hours after surgery.

Examination of data from VTE treatment trials reveals similar overlap in frequency of events, as well as between-study variability.

META-ANALYSIS

Prevention or Treatment of VTE

Two different meta-analyses evaluated the randomized, controlled trials of LMWH versus UFH in the treatment of acute DVT.[216,217] The LMWHs were shown to reduce mortality rates after acute DVT and appeared as safe as UFH and provide similar efficacy. Initial therapy of PE with LMWH also appears as effective as UFH.

A Cochrane database systemic review evaluated the safety and efficacy of three types of anticoagulants: LMWH, UFH, and fondaparinux (Arixtra) for the initial treatment of VTE in cancer patients.[218] A meta-analysis of 11 studies showed a statistically significant mortality reduction at 3 months of follow-up in patients treated with LMWH compared with those treated with UFH (relative risk [RR], 0.71; 95% CI, 0.52 to 0.98). A meta-analysis of 3 studies comparing LMWH with UFH in reducing recurrent VTE showed no statistically significant reduction (RR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.29 to 2.08). The overall quality of evidence was low for LMWH compared to UFH due to imprecision and potential publication bias. There were no statistically significant differences between UFH and fondaparinux for death (RR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.84), recurrent VTE (RR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.57 to 1.6), major bleeding (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.63), or minor bleeding (RR, 1.5; 95% CI, 0.87 to 2.59). The study results support LMWH over UFH in the initial treatment of VTE cancer patients.

A meta-analysis of 4 randomized, double-blind, multicenter trials for prevention of VTE in 7,344 patients undergoing elective hip replacement, elective major knee surgery, and surgery for hip fracture compared SC fondaparinux 2.5 mg daily starting 6 hours after surgery to SC enoxaparin regimens.[219] Fondaparinux significantly reduced the primary efficacy outcome of VTE by day 11 compared with enoxaparin, 6.8% versus 13.7%, respectively (common odds reduction of 55.2%; 95% CI, 45.8 to 63.1%; p<0.001). Fondaparinux as compared to enoxaparin resulted in increased risk of major bleeding, 2.7% versus 1.7%, respectively (p=0.008). However, the incidence of clinically relevant bleeding (leading to death or re-operation or occurring in a critical organ) did not differ between groups. In a post-hoc efficacy and safety analysis, the incidence of major bleeding was significantly less in patients receiving fondaparinux ≥ 6 hours versus < 6 hours following surgery (e.g., skin closure), 2.1% versus 3.2%, respectively.[220] There was no significant difference in the incidence of VTE at these different time points.

A systematic review evaluated randomized controlled trials of dabigatran (150 mg and 220 mg daily) and rivaroxaban (10 mg daily) compared with enoxaparin (40-60 mg daily) in elective orthopedic surgery.[221] Hemorrhagic events were defined as major and clinically relevant non-major bleeds. Rivaroxaban was superior to enoxaparin for the prevention of VTE (RR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.73; p<0.0001), with a non-significant trend for increased hemorrhage (RR, 1.26; 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.69; p=0.13). Dabigatran was not superior to enoxaparin for prevention of VTE (RR 1.12, 95% 0.97-1.29, p=0.12). Dabigatran did not reduce hemorrhage risk (RR, 1.1; 95%, 0.9 to 1.35; p=0.32). Adjusted indirect comparison for the pooled relative risks showed that rivaroxaban was superior to dabigatran in preventing VTE, RR, 0.5 (95% CI, 0.37 to 0.68), but with a slight trend towards increased hemorrhage RR, 1.14 (95% CI, 0.8 to 1.64).

Reduction in Risk of Stroke or Systemic Embolism in Avalvular Atrial Fibrillation Patients

A meta-analysis evaluated 7 trials (n=30,514) of dabigatran that reported on MI or ACS as secondary outcomes, including 2 stroke prophylaxis in atrial fibrillation studies, 1 in acute VTE, 1 in ACS, and 3 short-term prophylaxis of DVT.[222] In the studies, dabigatran was compared to control arms (warfarin, enoxaparin, or placebo). Dabigatran was significantly associated with a higher risk of MI or ACS compared with the controls (dabigatran, 1.19% versus control, 0.79%; OR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.03 to 1.71; p=0.03). The risk of MI or ACS was similar when using revised RELY trial results (OR, 1.27; 95% CI, 1 to 1.61; p=0.05) or after exclusion of short-term trials (OR, 1.33; 95% CI, 1.03 to 1.72; p=0.03).

A recent meta-analysis evaluated 42,411 atrial fibrillation patients who were receiving a new anticoagulant and 29,272 atrial fibrillation patients who received warfarin across 4 large trials, RELY (dabigatran), ROCKET AF (rivaroxaban), ARISTOTLE (apixaban), and ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 (edoxaban).[223] Edoxaban is not FDA-approved for this use. The primary efficacy outcome examined was stroke or systemic embolic events and the primary safety outcome examined was major bleeding. The authors calculated relative risks (RRs) and corresponding 95% CIs for each outcome. Data collected from the individual trials included stroke and systemic embolic events, ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction, major bleeding, intracranial hemorrhage (including hemorrhagic stroke, epidural, subdural, and subarachnoid hemorrhage), and GI bleeding. Median follow-up ranged from 1.8 years to 2.8 years. Important clinical subgroups examined included age (< 75 versus > 75 years), gender, history of previous stroke or transient ischemic attack, history of diabetes, renal

function (CrCL < 50 mL/min, 50 to 80 mL/min, > 80 mL/min), vitamin K antagonist status at study entry (naïve or experienced), and center-based time in the therapeutic INR range (mean time in therapeutic INR range at each enrolling center for patients randomized to warfarin). The results indicated that allocation to a new oral anticoagulant significantly reduced the composite of stroke or systemic embolic events by 19% , mainly driven by a large reduction in hemorrhagic stroke (RR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.64; p<0.0001). New oral anticoagulants were also associated with a significant reduction in all-cause mortality (RR, 0.9; 95% CI, 0.85 to 0.95; p<0.0003]). Randomization to a new oral anticoagulant (at therapeutic doses) was associated with a 14% non-significant reduction in major bleeding. There was a substantial reduction in intracranial hemorrhage (RR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.39 to 0.59; p<0.0001) with the newer anticoagulants compared to warfarin but the new oral anticoagulants were associated with an increased rate of GI bleeding (RR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.55; p=0.043). The benefit of new oral anticoagulants compared with warfarin in reducing stroke or systemic embolic events was consistent across all subgroups described above. For the prevention of ischemic stroke, the new oral anticoagulants had similar efficacy to warfarin. These results concluded the newer anticoagulants have similar efficacy to warfarin (prevention of ischemic stroke) but have a better safety profile (less incidence of hemorrhagic strokes or intracranial bleeding) despite the increased incidence of GI bleeding with the newer anticoagulants. Taken as a whole, the new oral anticoagulants, as a class, reduced all-cause mortality by about 10% in the study population.

SUMMARY

The injectable anticoagulants, low molecular weight heparins (LMWHs) and fondaparinux (Arixtra), are important treatment options in DVT and PE management. They offer advantages over unfractionated heparin (UFH) including lack of need for laboratory coagulation monitoring, ease of dosing, and reduced risk of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). LMWHs have been shown to reduce mortality rates after acute deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and provide similar efficacy. Initial therapy of pulmonary embolism (PE) with LMWH also appears as effective as UFH. When used in equipotent dosages, all of the LMWHs will provide a therapeutic anticoagulant effect.

The appropriate duration of treatment for venous thromboembolism (VTE) is usually a minimum of 3 months and may be extended for a much longer period of time depending on the indication and the patient's individual risk factors. After initial treatment with a parenteral anticoagulant (UFH, LMWH, fondaparinux [Arixtra]) for a minimum of 5 days, current evidence supports the use of either a parenteral agent, warfarin, dabigatran (Pradaxa), or edoxaban (Savaysa) for the duration of the anticoagulation therapy. Evidence also supports the use of rivaroxaban (Xarelto) or apixaban (Eliquis) for the treatment of DVT without the requirement for initial treatment with a parenteral anticoagulant.

Fondaparinux (Arixtra) has shown a reduction in preventing post-operative VTE compared to enoxaparin (Lovenox) following major orthopedic surgery (total hip replacement, total knee replacement, and hip fracture surgery). Fondaparinux (Arixtra) has been associated with an increased risk of bleeding; however, the timing of administration can affect the risk of bleeding. Fondaparinux (Arixtra) has been shown to be non-inferior to dalteparin (Fragmin) in preventing post-operative VTE in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

The 9th American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines recommend LMWH, fondaparinux (Arixtra), UFH, warfarin, aspirin, apixaban (Eliquis), dabigatran (Pradaxa), or rivaroxaban (Xarelto) for DVT prophylaxis in patients undergoing total hip or knee replacement surgery. LMWH is recommended over the other alternatives. Since the publication of these guidelines, 2 oral agents, apixaban (Eliquis) and rivaroxaban (Xarelto), have become FDA-approved for other indications, including for the prophylaxis of DVT/PE in elective hip and knee replacement surgery. They have both shown superiority to enoxaparin in prevention of DVT/PE, with a similar safety profile for this indication. Dabigatran (Pradaxa) has also received approval for prophylaxis of DVT/PE following hip replacement surgery based on 2 studies demonstrating non-inferiority to enoxaparin.

For VTE prophylaxis in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery, ACCP recommends LMWH, fondaparinux, low dose unfractionated heparin, warfarin, aspirin, or an intermittent pneumatic compression device.

While subcutaneous (SC) anticoagulants have subtle differences in methods of preparation, pharmacokinetic parameters, and anti-Xa activity, the clinical characteristics are similar.

The American Society of Clinical Oncology publishes evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for the prophylaxis and treatment of VTE in cancer patients. In general, LMWH are preferred over UFH or vitamin K antagonists (VKA) in most settings when prophylaxis or treatment is indicated for cancer patients. There is currently no established role for the new oral anticoagulants in the treatment or prophylaxis of cancer patients.

The newer oral anticoagulants (dabigatran [Pradaxa], apixaban [Eliquis], edoxaban [Savaysa], rivaroxaban [Xarelto]) show comparable efficacy and superiority or non-inferiority over warfarin for stroke prevention in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) with similar to lower overall rates of major bleeding; however, long-term safety data are currently lacking for these agents. Warfarin has been established for prevention of stroke in atrial fibrillation; however, it is associated with significant adverse events, genetic polymorphism, drug-drug and drug-food interactions, as well as laboratory monitoring. The 2012 ACCP guidelines recommend long-term anticoagulation with dabigatran (Pradaxa) over warfarin in NVAF patients with intermediate or high risk of stroke. The 2014 American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC)/Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) guidelines recommend warfarin (INR 2 to 3) (Level of Evidence: A), dabigatran (Pradaxa), rivaroxaban (Xarelto), or apixaban (Eliquis) (all level of evidence B) in NVAF patients who have either had a prior stroke, TIA, or have a CHA_2DS_2-VASc score > 2.

Apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban do not require laboratory monitoring and associated dose adjustments required with warfarin therapy. With the exception of dabigatran which does have a corresponding reversal agent (idarucizumab [Praxbind]), none of these new anticoagulants have an antidote currently available.

A meta-analysis found the newer oral anticoagulants to have an approximately 10% reduction in all-cause mortality compared to warfarin in patients with avalvular atrial fibrillation. This was largely due to a decreased incidence of hemorrhagic stroke in the patients assigned to the newer oral anticoagulants compared to patients receiving warfarin.

Ongoing trials are evaluating emerging oral therapies with comparable to better efficacy and improved safety, interactions, genetics, and therapeutic monitoring profiles.

REFERENCES

1 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
2 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Sanofi-Aventis; October 2013
3 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
4 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
5 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
6 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
7 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
8 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
9 Erdman SM, Chuck SK, Rodvold KA. Thromboembolic disorders. In: DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC et al., eds. Pharmacotherapy: a pathophysiologic approach. 4th ed. Stamford, CT: Appleton & Lange; 1999:295-326.
10 Yusen RD, Eby C, Walgreen R. Disorders of hemostasis. In: Green GB, Harris IS, Lin GA, et al., eds. The Washington Manual of Medical Therapeutics. 32nd ed. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. 2007:510-547.
11 American College of Physicians. online. Available at: http://www.acponline.org/clinical_information/journals_publications/acp_hospitalist/mar08/focus.htm. Accessed January 8, 2016.
12 Erdman SM, Chuck SK, Rodvold KA. Thromboembolic disorders. In: DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC et al., eds. Pharmacotherapy: a pathophysiologic approach. 4th ed. Stamford, CT: Appleton & Lange; 1999:295-326.
13 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, et al. Executive Summary: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:7S-47S.
14 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, et al. Executive Summary: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:7S-47S.
15 Kearon, C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline. Chest. 2016. Published online on January 7, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2015.11.026. Available at: http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/article.aspx?articleid=2479255. Accessed January 12, 2016.
16 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, et al. Executive Summary: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:7S-47S.
17 Kearon, C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline. Chest. 2016. Published online on January 7, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2015.11.026. Available at: http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/article.aspx?articleid=2479255. Accessed January 12, 2016.
18 Kearon, C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline. Chest. 2016. Published online on January 7, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2015.11.026. Available at: http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/article.aspx?articleid=2479255. Accessed January 12, 2016.
19 Kearon, C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE disease: CHEST guideline. Chest. 2016. Published online on January 7, 2016. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2015.11.026. Available at: http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/article.aspx?articleid=2479255. Accessed January 12, 2016.
20 Lyman GH, Bohlke K, Khorana AA, et al. Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis and Treatment in Patients with Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update 2014. J Clin Onc 2015; 33(6): 654-656. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.59.7351.
21 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.
22 Go AS, Hylek EM, Phillips KA, et al. Prevalence of diagnosed atrial fibrillation in adults: national implications for rhythm management and stroke prevention: the AnTicoagulation and Risk Factors in Atrial Fibrillation (ATRIA) study. JAMA. 2001; 285(18):2370-2375.
23 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.
24 Atrial fibrillation: current understandings and research imperatives. The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Working Group on Atrial Fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1993; 22(7):1830-1834.
25 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.
26 You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:e531S-e575S.
27 You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:e531S-e575S.
28 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.
29 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.
30 You JJ, Singer DE, Howard PA, et al. Antithrombotic Therapy in Atrial Fibrillation: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:e531S-e575S.
31 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.
32 January CT, Wann S, Alpert JS, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients with Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64(21): 2246-2280. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.03.021. Available at: http://content.onlinejacc.org/article.aspx?articleid=1854230. Accessed January 8, 2016.

33 Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/stroke.htm. Accessed January 8, 2016.
34 Culebras A, Messe SR, Chaturfvedi S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guidelines update: Prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation Report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2014;82:716-724 Available at: http://www.neurology.org/content/82/8/716.full.pdf. Accessed January 8, 2016.
35 Culebras A, Messe SR, Chaturfvedi S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guidelines update: Prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation Report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2014;82:716-724 Available at: http://www.neurology.org/content/82/8/716.full.pdf. Accessed January 8, 2016.
36 Culebras A, Messe SR, Chaturfvedi S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guidelines update: Prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation Report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2014;82:716-724 Available at: http://www.neurology.org/content/82/8/716.full.pdf. Accessed January 8, 2016.
37 Praxbind [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; October 2015.
38 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
39 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
40 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
41 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
42 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
43 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
44 Laposata M, Green D, Van Cott EM, et al. The clinical use and laboratory monitoring of low-molecular-weight heparin, danaparoid, hirudin and related compounds, and argatroban. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1998; 122:799-807.
45 Eikenboom JW, Weitz JI. New Anticoagulants. Circulation. 2010; 121: 1523-1532. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/121/13/1523.full.pdf+html. Accessed January 8, 2016.
46 Eikenboom JW, Weitz JI. New Anticoagulants. Circulation. 2010; 121: 1523-1532. Available at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/121/13/1523.full.pdf+html. Accessed January 8, 2016.
47 Friedel H, Balfour JA. Tinzaparin: a review of its pharmacology and clinical potential in the prevention and treatment of thromboembolic disorders. Drugs. 1994; 48:638-660.
48 Cornelli U, Fareed J. Human pharmacokinetics of low-molecular weight heparins. Semin Thromb Hemost. 1999; 25 (suppl 3):57-61.
49 Fegan CD. Tinzaparin as an antithrombotic: an overview. Hosp Med. 1998; 59: 145-148.
50 Heit JA. The potential role of fondaparinux as venous thromboembolism prophylaxis after total hip or knee replacement or hip fracture surgery. Arch Int Med. 2002; 162(16).
51 Haines ST, Bussey HI. Thrombosis and the pharmacology of antithrombotic agents. Ann Pharmacother. 1995; 29: 892-905.
52 Samama MM, Gerotziafas GT. Comparative pharmacokinetics of LMWHs. Semin Thromb Hemost. 2000; 26 Suppl 1:31-8.
53 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
54 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Sanofi-Aventis; October 2013.
55 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014
56 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
57 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; January 2015.
58 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
59 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
60 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
61 Furie KL, Goldstein LB, Albers GW, et al. Oral antithrombotic agents for the prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation : A science advisory for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2012; 43:00-00. Available at http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2012/08/02/STR.0b013e318266722a. Accessed January 8, 2016.
62 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
63 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ: Sanofi-Aventis: October 2013.
64 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
65 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
66 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
67 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
68 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; August 2014
69 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
70 Praxbind [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; October 2015.
71 REMS@FDA. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/rems/index.cfm. Accessed January 8, 2016.
72 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
73 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Sanofi-Aventis; October 2013.
74 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014
75 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
76 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
77 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
78 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
79 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
80 Available at: http://www.clinicalpharmacology.com/. Accessed March 11, 2015.
81 The Hokusai-VTE Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin for the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. New Engl J Med. 2013; 369:1406-1415. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1306638.
82 Wadelius M, Chen LY, Downes K, et al. Common VKORC1 and GGCX polymorphisms associated with warfarin dose. Pharmacogenomics Journal. 2005;5:262–270.

83 Rieder MJ, Reiner AP, Gage BF, et al. Effect of *VKORC1* Haplotypes on Transcriptional Regulation and Warfarin Dose. N Engl J Med 2005; 352:2285-2293.
84 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
85 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Sanofi-Aventis; October 2013.
86 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
87 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
88 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
89 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
90 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
91 Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm326580.htm. Accessed on January 8, 2016.
92 Available at: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm396470.htm. Accessed on January 8, 2016.
93 Tamayo S, Peacock W, Patel M, et al. Characterizing major bleeding in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a pharmacovigilance study of 27,467 patients taking rivaroxaban. Clin Cardiol. 2015;38(2):63-8. DOI: 10.1002/clc.22373.
94 Praxbind [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; October 2015.
95 Caldeira D, Rodrigues FB, Barra M, et al. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants and major bleeding-related fatality in patients with atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart. 2015; 101(5): 1204-1211. DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2015-307489.
96 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
97 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Sanofi-Aventis; October 2013.
98 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
99 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
100 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
101 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
102 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
103 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
104 Mongale P, Chan AKC, Goldenberg NA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in neonates and children: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S); e737S-e801S.
105 Mongale P, Chalmers E, Chan A, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in neonates and children: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S); e737S-e801S.
106 Mongale P, Chan AKC, Goldenberg NA, et al. Antithrombotic therapy in neonates and children: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S); e737S-e801S. Available at: http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/issue.aspx?journalid=99&issueid=23443. Accessed January 8, 2016
107 Merkel N, Gunther G, Schobess R. Long-term treatment of thrombosis with enoxaparin in pediatric and adolescent patients. Acta Haematol. 2006; 115(3-4):230-6.
108 Schobess R, During C, Bidlingmaier C, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy data on childhood venous thrombosis treated with a low molecular weight heparin: an open-label pilot study of once-daily versus twice-daily enoxaparin administration. Haematologica. 2006; 91(12):1701-1704.
109 Bates SM, Greer IA, Middeldorp S, et al. VTE, Thrombophilia Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S):e691S–e736S. Available at: http://journal.publications.chestnet.org/issue.aspx?journalid=99&issueid=23443. Accessed January 8, 2016.
110 Hall JAG, Paul RM, Wilson KM. Maternal and fetal sequelae of anticoagulation during pregnancy. Am J Med. 1980; 68:122–140.
111 Becker MH, Genieser NB, Finegold M, et al. Chondrodysplasia punctata: is maternal warfarin a factor? Am J Dis Child. 1975; 129:356-359.
112 Tooher R, Gates S, Dowswell T, Davis LJ. Prophylaxis for venous thromboembolic disease in pregnancy and the early postnatal period. Cochrane Rev Abstract. 2010.
113 Bates SM, Greer IA, Middeldorp S, et al. VTE, Thrombophilia Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141(2S):e691S–e736S.
114 Rodger MA, Kahn SR, Cranney A, et al for the TIPPS investigators. Long-term dalteparin in pregnancy not associated with a decrease in bone mineral density: substudy of a randomized controlled trial. J Thromb Haemost. 2007; 5(8):1600-6.
115 Bauersachs RM, Dudenhausen J, Faridi A, et al. The EthIG Investigators. Risk stratification and heparin prophylaxis to prevent venous thromboembolism in pregnant women. Thromb Haemost. 2007; 98(6):1237-1245.
116 Lim W, Dentali F, Eikelboom JW, et al. Meta-analysis: low-molecular-weight heparin and bleeding in patients with severe renal insufficiency. Ann Int Med. 2006; 144(9):673-684.
117 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, et al. Executive Summary: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (9th Edition). Chest. 2012; 141:7S-47S.
118 Pirmohamed M, Burnside G, Eriksson N, et al. A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of warfarin. N Engl J Med 2013;369:2294-303. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1311386
119 Kimmel SE, French B, Kasner SE, et al. A Pharmacogenetic versus a Clinical Algorithm for Warfarin Dosing. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:2283-93 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1310669
120 Fragmin [package insert]. Teaneck, NJ; Eisai; September 2015.
121 Lovenox [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ: Sanofi-Aventis; October 2013.
122 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
123 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
124 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
125 Savaysa [package insert]. Parsippany, NJ; Daiichi Sankyo; September 2015.
126 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
127 Coumadin [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; October 2015.
128 Geerts WH, Pineo GF, Heit JA, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: the seventh ACCP conference on antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy. Chest. 2004; 126:338S-400S.

129 Praxbind [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; October 2015.
130 Heneghan C, Alonso-Coello P, Garcia-Alamino JM, et al. Self-monitoring of oral anticoagulation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2006; 367:404-411.
131 Praxbind [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; October 2015.
132 Agnelli G, Bergqvist D, Cohen AT, et al and the PEGASUS investigators. Randomized clinical trial of postoperative fondaparinux versus perioperative dalteparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in high-risk abdominal surgery. Brit J Surg. 2005; 92(10):1212-1220.
133 Hull RD, Pineo GF, Francis C, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis using dalteparin in close proximity to surgery vs. warfarin in hip arthroplasty patients: a double-blind, randomized comparison. The North American Fragmin Trial Investigators. Arch Intern Med. 2000; 160(14):2199-2207.
134 Hull RD, Pineo GF, Francis C, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis using dalteparin extended out-of-hospital vs. in-hospital warfarin/out-of-hospital placebo in hip arthroplasty patients: a double-blind, randomized comparison. North American Fragmin Trial Investigators. Arch Intern Med. 2000; 160:2208-2215.
135 Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, Lassen MR, et al. Fondaparinux compared with enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after elective knee surgery. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:1305-1310.
136 Eriksson BI, Bauer KA, Lassen MR, et al. Fondaparinux compared with enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after hip-fracture surgery. N Engl J Med. 2001; 345:1298-1304.
137 Lassen MR, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, et al. Postoperative fondaparinux versus preoperative enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism in elective hip-replacement surgery: a randomized double blind comparison. Lancet. 2002; 359:1715-1720.
138 Turpie AGG, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, et al. Postoperative fondaparinux versus postoperative enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after elective hip-replacement surgery: a randomized double-blind trial. Lancet. 2002; 359:1721-1726.
139 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
140 Eriksson BI, Borris LC, Friedman RJ, et al. RECORD1 Study Group. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip arthroplasty. J Engl J Med. 2008; 358(26):2765-2775.
141 Kakkar AK, Brenner B, Dahl OE, et al. RECORD2 Investigators. Extended duration rivaroxaban versus short-term enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip arthroplasty: a double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2008; 372(9632):31-39.
142 Lassen MR, Ageno W, Borris LC, et al. RECORD3 Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358(26):2776-2786.
143 Turpie AG, Lassen MR, Davidson BL, et al. RECORD4 Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty (RECORD4): a randomised trial. Lancet. 2009; 373(9676):1673-1680.
144 Lassen MR, Raskob GE, Gallus A, et. al. Apixaban or enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after knee replacement. New Engl J Med 2009; 361: 594-604 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0810773
145 Lassen MR, Raskob GE, Gallus A, et al. Apixaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after knee replacement (ADVANCE-2): a randomized double-blind trial. Lancet 2010;375:807-15 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)62125-5
146 Lassen MR, Gallus A, Raskob GE, et al. Apixaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip replacement. New Engl J Med 2010;363:2487-98 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1006885
147 Eriksson BI, Dahl OE, Rosencher N, et al for the RE-NOVATE study group. Dabigatran etexilate versus enoxaparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip replacement: a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial.Lancet. 2007; 370:949-959.
148 Eriksson BI, Dahl OE, Huo MH, et al for the RE-NOVATE II study group. Oral dabigatran versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after primary total hip arthroplasty (RE-NOVATE II). Thromb Haemost. 2011; 105: 721-729. DOI: 10.1160/TH10-10-0679.
149 Buller HB, Davidson BL, Decousus H, et al. Fondaparinux or enoxaparin for the initial treatment of symptomatic deep vein thrombosis. A randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2004; 140:867-873.
150 EINSTEIN Investigators. Oral rivaroxaban for the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. NEJM. 2010;363;2499-2510.
151 EINSTEIN-PE Investigators. Oral rivaroxaban for the treatment of symptomatic pulmonary embolism. NEJM. 2012;366;1287-1297.
152 Xarelto [package insert]. Titusville, NJ; Janssen; September 2015.
153 Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in the treament of acute venous thromboembolism: N Engl J Med 2009;361:2342-52 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0906598)
154 Schulman S, Kakkar AK, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Treatment of acute venous thromboembolism with dabigatran or warfarin and pooled analysis. Circulation 2014;129:764-72 DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.004450
155 Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, et al. Extended use of dabigatran, warfarin or placebo in venous thromboembolism: N Engl J Med 2013;368:709-18 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1113697
156 Giancarlo A, Buller H, Cohen A, et al; on behalf of the AMPLIFY ingestigators. Oral apixaban for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism.
N Engl J Med 2013; 369:799-808.
157 The Hokusai-VTE Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin for the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. New Engl J Med. 2013; 369:1406-1415. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1306638.
158 Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm?fuseaction=Search.DrugDetails. Accessed January 8, 2016.
159 Kearon C, Kahn SR, Agnelli G, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for venous thromboembolic disease: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest. 2008; 133:454S–545S).
160 Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, et al. Prevention of Venous Thromboembolism: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest. 2008; 133: 381S–453S.
161 Avorn J, Winkelmayer WC. Comparing the costs, risks, and benefits of competing strategies for the primary prevention of venous thromboembolism. Circulation 2004; 110 (Suppl IV):IV25–IV32.
162 Culebras A, Messe SR, Chaturfvedi S, et al. Summary of evidence-based guidelines update: Prevention of stroke in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation Report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology 2014;82:716-724 Available at: http://www.neurology.org/content/82/8/716.full.pdf. Accessed January 8, 2016.
163 Hart RG. What's new in stroke? The top 10 studies of 2006-2008. Part II. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2008; 118(2):747-755.

164 van Walraven C, Hart RG, Singer DE, et al. Oral anticoagulants vs aspirin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: an individual patient meta-analysis. JAMA. 2002; 288(19):2441-2448.
165 Mant J, Hobbs FDR, Fletcher K, et al; on behalf of the BAFTA investigators and the Midland Research Practices Network (MidReC). Warfarin versus aspirin for stroke prevention in an elderly community population with atrial fibrillation (the Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Treatment of the Aged study, BAFTA): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2007; 370:493-503.
166 Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361(12):1139-51.
167 Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, Yusuf S, et al. Newly identified events in the RE-LY trial. N Engl J Med. 2010; 363:1875-1876.
168 Pradaxa [package insert]. Ridgefield, CT; Boehringer Ingelheim; November 2015.
169 Ezekowitz MD, Wallentin L, Connolly SJ, et al. RE-LY Steering Committee and Investigators. Dabigatran and warfarin in vitamin K antagonist-naïve and –experienced cohorts with atrial fibrillation. Circulation. 2010; 122(22):2246-2253.
170 Wallentin L, Yusuf S, Ezekowitz MD, et al. RE-LY Investigators. Efficacy and safety of dabigatran compared with warfarin at different levels of international normalized ratio control for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: an analysis of the RE-LY trial. Lancet. 2010; 376(9745):975-983.
171 Diener HC, Connolly SJ, Ezekowitz MD, et al. RE-LY Study Group. Dabigatran compared with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation and previous transient ischaemic attack or stroke: a subgroup analysis of the RE-LY trial. Lancet Neurol. 2010; 9(12):1157-1163.
172 Wallentin L, Yusuf S, Ezekowitz MD, et al. RE-LY Investigators. Efficacy and safety of dabigatran compared with warfarin at different levels of international normalized ratio control for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: an analysis of the RE-LY trial. Lancet. 2010; 376(9745):975-983.
173 Eikelboom JW, Wallentin L, Connolly SJ, et al. Risk of bleeding with 2 doses of dabigatran compared with warfarin in older and younger patients with atrial fibrillation: an analysis of the randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy (RE-LY) trial. Circulation. 2011; 123(21):2363-2372.
174 Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, et al. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. New Engl J Med. 2013; 369:2093-2104. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1310907.
175 Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al. ROCKET AF Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011; 365(10):883-891.
176 del Zeppo GJ, Eliasziw M. New options in anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med. 2011; 365(10):952-953.
177 Helperin JL, Hankey GJ, Wojdyla DM, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Rivaroxaban Compared with Warfarin among Elderly Patients with Nonvalvular Atrial Febrillation in the ROCKET AF Trial. Circulation. 2014;130(2):138-46. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005008.
178 Granger CB, Alexander JH, McMurray JJV, et al. Apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. NEJM 2011;365:981-92.
179 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
180 Connolly SJ, Eikelboom J, Joyner C, et al. Apixaban in patients with atrial fibrillation. NEJM 2011;364:806-17.
181 Eliquis [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; September 2015.
182 Dahl OE, Andreassen G, Aspelin T, et al. Prolonged thromboprophylaxis following hip replacement surgery -- results of a double-blind, prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study with dalteparin (Fragmin). Thromb Haemost. 1997; 77:26-31.
183 Torholm C, Broeng L, Jorgensen PS, et al. Thrombo-prophylaxis by low molecular weight heparin in elective hip surgery. J Bone Joint Surg. 1991; 73B(suppl):434-438.
184 Jorgensen PS, Knudsen JB, Broeng L, et al. The thrombo-prophylactic effect of a low molecular weight heparin (Fragmin) in hip fracture surgery. Clin Orthop. 1992; 278:95-100.
185 Eriksson BI, Zachrisson BE, Teger-Nilsson AC, et al. Thrombosis prophylaxis with low molecular weight heparin in total hip replacement. Br J Surg. 1988; 75:1053-1057.
186 Eriksson BI, Kalebo P, Anthmyr BA, et al. Prevention of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism after total hip replacement. J Bone Joint Surg. 1991; 73A(suppl):484-493.
187 Warwick D, Harrison J, Glew D, et al. Comparison of the use of a foot pump with the use of low molecular weight heparin for the prevention of deep-vein thrombosis after total hip replacement. A prospective, randomized trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1998; 80:1158-166.
188 Bergqvist D, Benoni G, Bjorgell O, et al. Low molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin) as prophylaxis against thromboembolism after total hip replacement. N Engl J Med. 1996; 335:696-700.
189 Leclerc JR, Geerts WH, Desjardins L, et al. Prevention of deep vein thrombosis after major knee surgery -- a randomized, double-blind trial comparing a low molecular weight heparin fragment (enoxaparin) to placebo. Thromb Haemost. 1992; 67:417-423.
190 Fauno P, Suomalainen O, Rehnberg V, et al. Prophylaxis for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total knee arthroplasty. A comparison between unfractionated and low-molecular-weight heparin. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1994; 76A:1814-1818.
191 Planes A, Vochelle N, Mazas F, et al. Prevention of postoperative venous thrombosis: A randomized trial comparing unfractionated heparin with low molecular weight heparin in patients undergoing total hip replacement. Thromb Haemost. 1988; 60:407-410.
192 Eriksson BI, Wille-Jorgensen P, Kalebo P, et al. A comparison of recombinant hirudin with a low molecular weight heparin to prevent thromboembolic complications after total hip replacement. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337:1329-1335.
193 Colwell CW Jr, Spiro TE, Trowbridge AA, et al. Efficacy and safety of enoxaparin versus unfractionated heparin for prevention of deep venous thrombosis after elective knee arthroplasty. The enoxaparin clinical trial group. Clin Orthop. 1995; 321:19-27.
194 Leclerc JR, Geerts WH, Desjardins L, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism after knee arthroplasty. A randomized, double-blind trial comparing enoxaparin with warfarin. Ann Intern Med. 1996; 124:619-626.
195 Lassen MR, Borris LC, Christiansen HM, et al. Prevention of thromboembolism in 190 hip arthroplasties. Acta Orthop Scand. 1999; 62:33-38.
196 Hull R, Raskob G, Pineo G, et al. A comparison of subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin with warfarin sodium for prophylaxis against deep-vein thrombosis after hip or knee implantation. N Engl J Med. 1993; 329:1370-1306.
197 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
198 Holmstrom M, Berglund C, Granqvist S, et al. Fragmin once or twice daily subcutaneously in the treatment of deep venous thrombosis of the leg. Thromb Res. 1992; 67:49-55.
199 Alhenc-Gelas M, Guernic CJL, Vitoux JF, for the Fragmin Study Group. Adjusted versus fixed doses of the low molecular weight heparin Fragmin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. Thromb Haemost. 1994; 71:698-702.
200 Bratt GA, Tornebohm E, Johanson M, et al. Clinical experiences in the administration of a low-molecular weight heparin (Fragmin, Kabi-Vitrum) to healthy volunteers and in the treatment of established deep venous thrombosis. Acta Chir Scand. 1988; 543(suppl):96-100.

201 Holm HA, Ly B, Handeland GF, et al. Subcutaneous heparin treatment of deep venous thrombosis: a comparison of unfractionated and low molecular weight heparin. Haemostasis. 1986; 16(suppl 2):30-37.
202 Handeland GF, Abildgaard U, Holm HA, et al. Dose-adjusted heparin treatment of deep venous thrombosis: a comparison of unfractionated and low molecular weight heparin. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1990; 39:107-12.
203 Albada J, Nieuwenhuis HK, Sixma JJ. Treatment of acute venous thromboembolism with low molecular weight heparin (Fragmin). Circulation. 1989; 80:935-940.
204 Meyer G, Brenot F, Pacouret G, et al. Subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin Fragmin versus intravenous unfractionated heparin in the treatment of acute non-massive pulmonary embolism: an open randomized pilot study. Thromb Haemost. 1995; 74:1432-435.
205 Partsch H, Kechavarz B, Mostbeck A, et al. Frequency of pulmonary embolism in patients who have iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis and are treated with one-or-twice-daily low molecular weight heparin. J Vasc Surg. 1996; 24:774-782.
206 Fiessinger J, Lopez-Fernandez M, Gatterer E, et al. Once-daily subcutaneous dalteparin, a low molecular weight heparin, for the initial treatment of acute deep vein thrombosis. Thromb Haemost. 1996; 76:195-199.
207 Luomanmaki K, Granqvist S, Hallert C, et al. A multicentre comparison of once-daily subcutaneous dalteparin (low molecular weight heparin) and continuous intravenous heparin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. J Intern Med. 1996; 240:85-92.
208 Lindmarker P, Holmstrom M, Granqvist S, et al. Comparison of once-daily subcutaneous Fragmin with continuous intravenous unfractionated heparin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. Thromb Haemost. 1994: 72:186-190.
209 Bratt G, Aberg W, Johansson M. Two daily subcutaneous injections of Fragmin as compared with intravenous standard heparin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis (DVT). Thromb Haemost. 1990: 64:506-510.
210 Simonneau G, Charbonnier B, Decousus H, et al. Subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin compared with continuous intravenous unfractionated heparin in the treatment of proximal deep vein thrombosis. Arch Intern Med. 1993; 153:1541-1546.
211 Hull RD, Raskob GE, Pineo GF, et al. Subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin compared with continuous intravenous heparin in the treatment of proximal-vein thrombosis. N Engl J Med. 1992; 326:975-982.
212 Simonneau G, Sors H, Charbonnier B, et al. A comparison of low molecular weight heparin with unfractionated heparin for acute pulmonary embolism. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337:663-669.
213 Hull RD, Raskob GE, Brant RF, et al. Low-molecular-weight heparin vs. heparin in the treatment of patients with pulmonary embolism. Arch Intern Med. 2000; 160:229-336.
214 Buller HB, Davidson BL, Decousus H, et al. Fondaparinux or enoxaparin for the initial treatment of symptomatic deep vein thrombosis. A Randomized Trial. Arch Intern Med. 2004; 140:867-873.
215 Arixtra [package insert]. Research Triangle Park, NC; GlaxoSmithKline; July 2014.
216 Gould MK, Dembitzer AD, Doyle RL, et al. Low-molecular weight heparins compared with unfractionated heparin for treatment of acute deep venous thrombosis. Ann Intern Med. 1999; 130:800-809.
217 Segal JB, Bolger DT, Jenckes MW, et al. Outpatient therapy with low molecular weight heparin for the treatment of venous thromboembolism: a review of efficacy, safety, and costs. Am J Med. 2003; 115(4):298-308.
218 Akl EA, Vasireddi SR, Gunukula S, et al. Anticoagulation for the initial treatment of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011; 15(6):CD006649.
219 Turpie AG, Bauer KA, Erikkson BI, et al. Fondaparinux vs enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in major orthopedic surgery: a meta-analysis of 4 randomized double-blind studies. Arch Intern Med. 2002; 162(16):1833-1840.
220 Turpie AG, Bauer KA, Eriksson BI, et al. Steering Committees of the Pentasaccharide Orthopedic Prophylaxis Studies. Efficacy and safety of fondaparinux in major orthopedic surgery according to the timing of its first administration. Thromb Haemost. 2003; 90(2):364-366.
221 Loke YK, Kwok CS. Dabigatran and rivaroxaban for prevention of venous thromboembolism – systematic review and adjusted indirect comparison. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2011; 36(1):111-124.
222 Uchino K, Hernandez AV. Dabigatran associated with higher risk of acute coronary events. Arch Intern Med. 2012; 172(5):397-402.
223 Ruff CT, Giugliana RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Published online December 4, 2013.